

## BIOLOGICAL EFFECT OF SODIUM AZIDE ON *PROSOPIS CINERARIA* L. DRUCE *IN VIVO* AND *IN VITRO*

POOJA GEHLOT

Department of Botany, Maharshi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer- 305 001, India.

Biological effect of sodium azide, a chemical mutagen on intact plants and unorganized cultures of *Prosopis cineraria* L. Druce was studied. The effect of these treatments were evaluated by considering various aspect of growth index (GI) primary and secondary metabolic contents. Unorganised cultures were initiated from hypocotyl and maintained on MS medium supplemented with different hormones. Plants and callus treated with sodium azide caused diminutive effect on primary and secondary metabolite production, however some positive implications were also noticed during the investigation.

**Keywords:** Intact plants; Organized cultures; Primary and Secondary metabolites; Sodium azide.

### Introduction

Sodium azide ( $\text{NaN}_3$ ) a chemical mutagen, acts as a biological toxic or mutagenic agent, which greatly influences growth and differentiation by regulating given expressions. Although the variations induced by mutagens are not always useful, they are helpful in determining the effect and mechanism of action of the mutagen in question and also the sensitivity of the biological material<sup>1</sup>.

Sodium azide is mutagenic in several plant system<sup>2</sup> and nodulation<sup>3</sup>. It can be metabolized by plants into a mutagen<sup>4</sup>. Nevertheless, no work, has been done on the effect of sodium azide in production of primary and secondary metabolites under *in vivo* and tissue culture. *Prosopis cineraria* (Khejri), one of the most, important leguminous tree of Rajasthan, which not only serve as food for man but also fodder for cattle and potential source of raw material for industrial and pharmaceutical sectors. It also has the attitude to fix atmospheric nitrogen which enriches the soil. Therefore, the present study was designed to study the effect of sodium azide, a well known potent mutagen<sup>6</sup> on the growth of primary and secondary metabolic contents of *Prosopis cineraria* L. Druce as well as on growth *in vivo* and *in vitro* system.

### Material and Methods

***In vivo***- Seeds of *P.cineraria* were surface sterilized with 0.1% (w/v) mercuric chloride, washed with sterilized distilled water and than soaked for 4 hours. Presoaked seeds were treated with freshly prepared solution of  $\text{NaN}_3$  (0.001, 0.002, 0.003, 0.004M) for 24 h. The treated seeds were transferred in polypots for raising the plants. Data were recorded upto 90 days. A set of untreated seeds served as a control.

***In vitro*** - The surface sterilized seeds were germinated

under aseptic conditions on MS basal medium<sup>6</sup> gelled with 0.8% agar-agar. Hypocotyl explants were excised from 10-15 days old seedlings and inoculated for induction of callus on modified 2MS medium (double micronutrients); 2,4-D, 2.5 mg/l; NAA, 0.5 mg/l; BAP, 1mg/l. Growth index were calculated into different intervals of growth period after five weeks of sub-culture by taking fresh weight of callus cultures. The induced static cultures were maintained with regular intervals of subculture by transferring them on fresh MS medium.

Five weeks grown callus (~ 1g) was transferred to modified 2MS medium to study the affect of  $\text{NaN}_3$  treatments for growth period of five weeks as short duration. Freshly prepared aqueous solution of  $\text{NaN}_3$  (5, 10, 15, 20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was incorporated after 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> day for continuous supply for  $\text{NaN}_3$  in first week of callus growth to study the effect on static cultures.

The growth indices were calculated at different time interval of 5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> week using the formula

$$\text{GI} = \frac{\text{Final fresh weight} - \text{Initial fresh weight}}{\text{Initial fresh weight}}$$

Estimation of protein, sugar and phenol and secondary metabolic contents was done in *in vivo* and cultures including control by utilizing standard protocols<sup>7-10</sup>, respectively.

### Results and Discussion

***In vivo***- All the concentration of  $\text{NaN}_3$  in *P.cineraria* in general caused significant reduction in seeding length except 0.001 M (Table 1), where no change in primary metabolic content and promotory effect in  $\beta$ -sitosterol was observed, so it is clear from the observations that sodium azide caused a clear inhibitory effect on growth

**Table 1.** Effect of  $\text{NaN}_3$  on growth, primary and secondary metabolites of *Prosopis cineraria* L. Druce *in vivo*.

| Concentrations (M) | Seedling length (cm) | Protein (mg/g/dw) mean $\pm$ SE | Sugar (mg/g/dw) mean $\pm$ SE | Phenol (mg/g/dw) mean $\pm$ SE | $\beta$ -Sitosterol (mg/g/dw) mean $\pm$ SE |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Control            | 17.34 $\pm$ 0.31     | 0.0198 $\pm$ 0.02               | 0.0287 $\pm$ 0.22             | 0.0162 $\pm$ 0.03              | 0.98 $\pm$ 0.09                             |
| 0.001              | 17.33 $\pm$ 0.32     | 0.0172 $\pm$ 0.07               | 0.0261 $\pm$ 0.01             | 0.0183 $\pm$ 0.21              | 1.18 $\pm$ 0.11                             |
| 0.002              | 15.11 $\pm$ 0.17     | 0.0169 $\pm$ 0.06               | 0.0272 $\pm$ 0.07             | 0.0157 $\pm$ 0.01              | 0.86 $\pm$ 0.15                             |
| 0.003              | 15.01 $\pm$ 0.42     | 0.0189 $\pm$ 0.11               | 0.0292 $\pm$ 0.01             | 0.0141 $\pm$ 0.17              | 0.81 $\pm$ 0.14                             |
| 0.004              | 13.76 $\pm$ 0.21     | 0.0172 $\pm$ 0.13               | 0.0232 $\pm$ 0.04             | 0.013 $\pm$ 0.05               | 0.85 $\pm$ 0.01                             |
| r'                 | -0.9532              | -0.7330                         | -0.6432                       | -0.5441                        | -0.4322                                     |
| Xx                 | 8.320                | 0.012                           | 0.024                         | 0.012                          | 0.762                                       |

of seedling as well as metabolic contents. This reduction may be due to gross injury caused at cellular level either due to the gene controlled biochemical processes or acute chromosomal aberrations or both. Similarly, early researchers<sup>11,12</sup>, observed  $\text{NaN}_3$  treatments had negative effect on seed germination and seedling growth parameters of *Vigna unguiculata*. Quraing<sup>12</sup> opined that physiological effect of sodium azide might be due to decline in assimilation mechanism, inhibition of catalase, peroxidase and cytochrome oxidases.

*In vitro*- During the experimental investigation the marked reduction in GI was observed in treated callus cultures. Five weeks grown callus cultures showed more inhibitory effect than ten weeks growth period cultures in terms of GI in treated cultures of *P. cineraria* L. Druce (Table 2).

The physiological and biochemical studies reveal that in all the concentrations of  $\text{NaN}_3$  treated cultures, significant reduction was observed in primary and secondary metabolites of five weeks growth period. Exception to this, 5  $\mu\text{m}$  treated cultures, where no change in sugar and enhanced effect in  $\beta$ -sitosterol control were observed (Table 2).

Deterimental effect was observed in all treated series after ten weeks and more or less complete recovery of callus growth and metabolite production.

In all the parameters studied in the present experiments comparatively, after taking the combined mean values of percentage average of occurrence (Xx), maximum damaging effect was caused by higher concentrations.

Scanty information is available, on *in vitro* effects of  $\text{NaN}_3$  as worked out in present study also. This observation is also supported by Ahmad *et al.*<sup>14</sup> who proposed the same effect that sodium azide interferes with

biochemical and genetic processes. Similarly, Panda and Madhukar<sup>15</sup> observed that percent germination decreased with increasing concentration of mutagen and their study also indicates that the duration of exposure of leaf explants of  $\text{NaN}_3$  influence callus induction and growth at lower concentration.

The biological influence of  $\text{NaN}_3$  accessed in present study clearly indicated that  $\text{NaN}_3$  plays an important role as stimulatory agent at low/high doses. Therefore, a particular dose was used for enhancing the primary and secondary metabolic contents in the desert area.

#### References

1. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman, Bahr A, Siddiqui A and Khan S 2000, Chemo-Mutagenic studies on Biological parameters in *Vigna radiate* (L) wilczek. *Ad. Plant Sci.* 13(1) 267-271.
2. Konzak C F, Nilan R A, Wanger J and Feater R J 1965, The use of induced mutations in plant breeding. *Rad. Bot.* (Suppl.) 5 49-80.
3. Mahna S K, Garg R and Parrateesam M 1991, Phytotoxic influence of sodium azide on the growth and nodulation in *Vigna munga* L. *J. Phytol. Res.* 4(1) 49-53.
4. Owais WM, Zarowitz MA, Gunovich RA, Hodgdon AL, Kleninhofs and Nilan RA 1978, A mutagenic *in vivo* metabolite of sodium azide. *Mut. Res.* 53 355-358
5. Lalman A and Singh A 1965, The use of induced mutagens in plant breedings. *Rad. Bot.* (Suppl.) 17(1)23.
6. Murashige T and Skoog F 1962, A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue culture. *Physiol. Plant.* 15 473.

Table 2. Effect of  $\text{NaN}_3$  on growth, primary and secondary metabolites of *Prosopis cineraria* L. Druce *in vitro*.

| Concentrations<br>( $\mu\text{M}$ ) | Growth Index (GI)<br>Callus fresh weight (g) |                           | Primary metabolic contents |                           |                          |                           |                          |                           | Secondary metabolic contents |                           |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                     |  |                           | Protein                    |                           | Sugar                    |                           | Phenol                   |                           | $\beta$ -sitosterol          |                           |
|                                     | 5 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE                     | 10 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 5 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE   | 10 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 5 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 10 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 5 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 10 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE | 5 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE     | 10 weeks<br>mean $\pm$ SE |
| Control                             | 2.81 $\pm$ 0.04                              | 2.82 $\pm$ 0.25           | 2.88 $\pm$ 0.15            | 2.89 $\pm$ 0.14           | 3.49 $\pm$ 0.17          | 3.47 $\pm$ 0.21           | 4.65 $\pm$ 0.11          | 4.67 $\pm$ 0.11           | 1.48 $\pm$ 0.12              | 1.37 $\pm$ 0.21           |
| 5                                   | 2.71 $\pm$ 0.29                              | 2.76 $\pm$ 0.21           | 2.95 $\pm$ 0.21            | 2.81 $\pm$ .11            | 3.48 $\pm$ 0.23          | 3.41 $\pm$ 0.26           | 4.73 $\pm$ 0.13          | 4.60 $\pm$ 0.10           | 1.87 $\pm$ 0.21              | 1.81 $\pm$ 0.13           |
| 10                                  | 2.66 $\pm$ 0.20                              | 2.60 $\pm$ 0.17           | 2.90 $\pm$ 0.07            | 2.80 $\pm$ 0.21           | 3.72 $\pm$ 0.17          | 3.67 $\pm$ 0.11           | 4.43 $\pm$ 0.25          | 4.32 $\pm$ 0.21           | 1.11 $\pm$ 0.11              | 1.24 $\pm$ 0.14           |
| 15                                  | 2.52 $\pm$ 0.24                              | 2.63 $\pm$ 0.16           | 2.89 $\pm$ 0.13            | 2.79 $\pm$ 0.27           | 3.17 $\pm$ 0.13          | 3.13 $\pm$ 0.17           | 4.17 $\pm$ 0.21          | 4.07 $\pm$ 0.17           | 1.01 $\pm$ 0.14              | 1.21 $\pm$ 0.21           |
| 20                                  | 2.40 $\pm$ 0.18                              | 2.61 $\pm$ 0.12           | 2.80 $\pm$ 0.04            | 2.71 $\pm$ 0.17           | 2.92 $\pm$ 0.09          | 2.89 $\pm$ 0.15           | 3.92 $\pm$ 0.40          | 3.80 $\pm$ 0.16           | 0.92 $\pm$ 0.13              | 1.17 $\pm$ 0.18           |
| r'                                  | -0.7431                                      | -0.5501                   | -0.7501                    | -0.6501                   | -0.7321                  | -0.7221                   | -0.7431                  | -0.6552                   | -0.6441                      | -0.5042                   |
| Xx                                  | 3.650  | 3.421                     | 1.720                      | 2.721                     | 2.431                    | 2.321                     | 2.432                    | 2.321                     | 1.103                        | 1.232                     |

Reported values are mean  $\pm$  SE of 3 replicates

r' = coefficient of correlation

Xx = Percentage average of occurrence

7. Lowry O H, Rosetrough N J, Farr A L and Rondall R J 1951, Protein measurement with the Folin-phenol reagent. *J. Biol. Chem.* 193 265-275.
8. Dubois M, Gills K A Hamilton J K, Rebers P A and Smith F 1956, Colourmetric method for determination of sugar and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28 350-356.
9. Farkas G L and Kirlay Z 1962, Role of phenolic compounds in the physiology of plant diseases and disease resistance. *Phytopathology* 44 105-110
10. Das S K and Banerjee A B 1980, A rapid method for quantification of sterols after thin layer chromatography. *Ind. J. Exp. Biol.* 18 969.
11. Apparao B J 2005, Effect of sodium azide on peroxidase isozyme profiles in *Vigna unguiculata* (L) walp. *Ad. Plant Sci.* 18(1) 289-293.
12. Quraing Al F 2009, Effects of sodium azide on growth and yield traits of *Eruca sativa* (L). *World Applied Sci. J.* 7(2) 220-226.
13. Kleinhof A, Owais W N and Nilan B A 1978, Azide Mutagenesis in wheat. *Mut. Res.* 55 165-195.
14. Ahmad I S, Ahmed I D, Haider M, Javed M, Latif Z, Husain T 2010. *In vitro* induction of mutation in potato cultivars. *Pak. J. Phytopathol.* 22(1) 51-57.
15. Panda S and K Madhukar 2012, Biological effect of sodium azide and colchicine on seed germination and callus induction in *Stevia robaudian*. *Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci.* 3(1) 93-97.