

UNVEILING THE EFFECT OF DROUGHT AND GENETIC RESOURCES ASSOCIATED WITH DROUGHT TOLERANCE IN WHEAT

MANSI SINGH, SILBIA CH MARAK, AISHANI SAXENA, KASHISH SINGH, and PRITESH VYAS*

School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttarakhand University, Dehradun-248007 Uttarakhand INDIA

*Corresponding Author's Email: pritesh2010@gmail.com

Drought is one the gravest aspect of the climate change in the present decade. This kind of climate change neither allow the farmers to prepare itself in advance nor it can escape the season once the sowing is completed. The impact is so widespread that it causes huge crop losses and poses serious threat to food security globally. Wheat being the third most staple crop around the world after rice and maize, has been severely affected by this climatic menace. Drought tolerance has become an important part of the today's research and development of drought resilient lines in wheat is the current breeding trait of interest for plant breeders. Identification for novel genetic resources and associated genomic region clarifies the mechanisms behind drought tolerance and its regulation. The biochemical & physiological aspects associated with the said trait and their interface with the associated genomic regions, identified using genome wide associated studies (GWAS), provides deep insights for drought tolerance & its mechanism. Several significant genetic resources have been identified in the recent past which has proved their worth in development of drought tolerant lines in wheat. This review highlights the latest research and facts associated with several aspects of drought tolerance in wheat and provide profound solutions that are significant for the future wheat breeding programs.

Keywords: Drought, Wheat, Climate change, Physiology, GWAS

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), being one of the major agricultural crop worldwide, is referred as “king of grains”, serving a huge population of around one third of the human population¹. It ranks as the second most extensively produced cereal globally and represents the largest crop in terms of cultivated land area². Wheat contributes approximately 70% of caloric intake and 80-85% of dietary protein in human diets, primarily through wheat-based products such as bread³. Wheat grain is composed of approximately 60-80% starch, about 2% minerals, 2% lipids, 2.2% crude fiber, B-complex vitamins, 8-17% proteins, vitamin E, and almost all essential amino acids nutritionally⁴. Global wheat production attained about 757 million metric tons in

2017⁵. The percent of wheat consumption to the total cereal consumed in the world is 41-74% in developed countries and 35% in developing areas and serves as one of the basic human food sources⁶. It is the second most-consumed staple cereal in the world after rice⁷. About 68% of the total amount produced is utilized for human food, 19% goes to feeding cattle, and the rest is used industrially, for instance, in the manufacture of ethanol⁸. About 68% of the total amount produced is utilized for human food, 19% goes to feeding cattle, and the rest is used industrially, for instance, in the manufacture of ethanol⁸. Demand for wheat in Sub-Saharan Africa has grown by 2-3% annually, reflecting its position as one of the more important food grains of the world⁹.

Sophisticated biochemical defense mechanisms in wheat plants underpin adaptation to episodes of water scarcity. Increased generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), leading to oxidative damage of essential cellular constituents, characterizes drought stress^{10,11}. To counter the reactive oxygen species (ROS), the wheat plant has devised an antioxidant defense system, constituting of catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD)¹². During oxidative injury resulting from dehydration, the plant accumulates glutathione, glycine betaine, polyamines, and soluble sugars, which acts as a protection pathway¹³. Generally, the resilience in plant during water stress occurs through two ways i.e. drought avoidance, and dehydration tolerance¹⁴. Avoiding drought results through suitable root architecture, efficiency of water utilization and phenological changes for optimum use of rainfall¹⁵. Dehydration tolerance results from the plant's efficiency of withstanding the partial desiccation.

Response of plant against such stress is influenced by genetic factors, gene expression patterns, photosynthetic activity, respiratory changes, intensity, and duration of stress. The huge complexity within the plant life underscores the need to uncover the genomic basis and expression of the same during water fluctuations. This has resulted in researchers identifying various genomic loci associated with wheat's adaptability for drought¹⁶. The water crisis is managed via Ethylene Response Factors (ERFs) and likewise various other transcription factors. As overexpression of pathogen inducible ethylene responsive factor in *Triticum aestivum* (TaERF3) enhances proline and chlorophyll content during the stressful conditions of drought for adaptability¹⁷. Likewise, overexpression of TaERF1 leads to activation of other related genes imparting salinity, cold and drought tolerance in wheat^{18,19}.

Genomic overexpression, induction has been studied widely for the associated

trait in wheat, however genetic repression is of equal importance in regulating the expression of mechanism involved in drought conditions. The discovery of crucial regulatory elements has facilitated the application of chromatin modifiers and transcriptional co-repressors for the repression of genes²⁰. For example, the EAR motif is widely used as an active transcriptional repression domain in many plant species^{21,22}. Recent advances in technologies dealing with molecular markers have revolutionized plant breeding and opened up new ways to speed up the process of developing drought-tolerant wheat genotypes²³. Marker-assisted breeding has been making use of quantitative trait loci (QTLs)²⁴ associated with drought tolerance through DNA markers. The DNA markers allow genome mapping and tagging of traits that improve the identification of elites within the breeding lines²⁵. Techniques such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), and simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are likely to be integrated into improving the effectiveness of the breeding approach to drought tolerance²⁶. Genetic markers associated with drought tolerance at particular growth stages and morphological traits are being investigated²⁷. Despite the difficulties in yield measurement and the establishment of the role of individual genes, many studies have reported drought-responsive genetic markers in various stages of development^{27,28,29}.

Morphological adaptation is also a key aspect of wheat drought stress response³⁰. Knowledge of how drought affects leaf and root traits, growth stages, and plant architecture is essential for genetic improvement of drought resistance³¹. Recent works revealed relations among length, senescence³² and biomass roots, leaves size and shape under water limited conditions. During water limited conditions, there is a coordinated pathway of responses in wheat plant which

maintains a stable hormonal state, plant water relationship, and nutrient uptake efficiency³³.

A major mechanism devised for drought adaptability is regulating stomatal opening, which is dependent on abscisic acid dependent signals. Simultaneously, there is another mechanism utilizing antioxidant related defensive approach for overcoming oxidative stress³⁴. With this, there are changes to nutrient uptake and transportation which increases the tolerance in wheat³⁵. Ongoing research aims to develop agricultural practices that minimize water requirements while maximizing crop productivity, thereby strengthening local food security and ecosystem stability³⁶. In this view, this review aims at discussing the physiology of wheat under heat stress and explores the major QTL/SNP/MTAs related to drought tolerance in wheat.

Heat Stress Constraint on Wheat

Among all the factors, particularly abiotic ones, periodic or continuous heat stress (HS) is a significant constraint on wheat production, especially in subtropical areas, and is regarded as a major threat to wheat³⁷. The significant impacts of heat stress on the duration and rate of grain setting ultimately result in a decrease in grain yield is determined by its timing duration, and intensity³⁸. At all stages of development, heat stress can impact wheat growth, and future modelling scenarios predict temperatures that are considerably highest³⁹. The average temperature increases by 0.3°C per decennium globally⁴⁰, and the report published by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climatic Change (IPCC 2014) indicated that temperatures would increase by approximately 0.3°C by 2025 and by 1°C by 2100. In tropical and subtropical regions, wheat yield may decrease by 3% to 20% for each degree increase in ambient temperatures⁴¹⁻⁴³. The rising temperature alters the seasons of the crops, leading to early crop maturation^{44,45}. The ability of a

plant to sustain growth, survive, and procreate under situations of restricted water availability⁴⁶ or during times of periodic water scarcity is known as drought tolerance. Drought has an impact on various factors such as plant growth, production levels, membrane integrity, osmoregulation, pigment content, water relations, and photosynthesis activity⁴⁷. According to some researchers, heat stress can alter the water relation of the plant⁴⁸. Heat stress can cause hormonal changes⁴⁹. HS can lead to a reduction of metabolic activities⁵⁰ and the synthesis of reactive oxygen species⁵¹⁻⁵³. It can also cause an increase in pollen mortality, promote ethylene production in wheat and reduce pollen tube development⁵⁴. Many of the traits that contribute to heat tolerance under terminal heat stress are heritable, additive, and variable, suggesting that wheat improvement is possible^{55,56}.

Two markers, Xpsp3094 and Xgwm282, were found to be significantly associated with variation in TGW between optimally and late-sown RILs, as determined by regression analysis. The coefficients of determination (R²) for these markers were 0.14 and 0.11, respectively. It might account for the differences in the phenotypes of RILs. The R² values indicated that Xpsp3094 and Xgwm282 were responsible for 14% and 11% of total phenotypic variation in heat tolerance within the RILs population, respectively⁵⁷.

Physiological Characteristics Linked to Heat Stress and Drought

Physiological traits refer to an individual's physical characteristics. In plants, these can be described as hormones and other growth regulators produced by the plants that act as signals within their tissues to elicit a physiological response.

Leaf Senescence

As leaves undergo senescence, certain structural alterations occur in the chloroplasts, such as vacuolar collapse, disruption of cellular homeostasis, and

plasma membrane integrity loss⁵⁸. The photosynthetic machinery gets abrupted due to heat stress at the maturation phase⁵⁹. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) has proven to be a reliable phenotyping tool to screen and discover gene associated with heat tolerance⁶⁰. This approach is reliable as it depends on the greenness in wheat which takes in account of chlorophyll. Increased temperature of more than 34°C fastens the process of senescence via disruption of chlorophyll⁶¹. Since heat stress has a grim impact on photosynthesis setup of the plant, which eventually restricts the leaf surface area and finally leads to yield losses^{62,63}.

Spike Fertility

Decrease in the number of grains, reduction in grain size and biomass are major concerns of heat stress⁶⁴. The major involvement of ethylene during the stress leads to programmed cell death and eventually grain loss^{65,66}. The stress leads to fluctuations in metabolic pathways, chemical imbalances, and the pollen numbers⁶⁷. Moreover, heat stress damages the female reproductive organs, by hindering the meiosis, resulting abnormal ovary and fast growth of stigma and ovule^{67,68}.

Starch Accumulation

A study⁶⁹ has highlighted that even with the presence of assimilates, exposure to temperature around 40°C leads to reduction in starch deposition in the grain by almost 30%. This occurs in the early stage of grain filling, which is highly sensitive and equally important stage in grain weight determination⁶⁹. Since starch accumulation plays crucial role in total yield of the cereal, targeting the enzymes involved in starch biosynthesis may play an important part for wheat resilience in ever increasing temperature factor. Enzymes such as ATPase, granule-bound starch synthase, soluble starch synthase, branching and debranching enzymes, and plastidial starch phosphorylase are the key enzymes. With

increase in temperature to 40°C, the plant activity in grains were hindered up to 97%⁶⁹. Heat stress restricts starch production in wheat grains while significantly increasing the total protein and soluble sugar content⁷⁰. During the seedling stage, stress caused by rising temperatures will lead to a reduction in biomass and soluble sugar content⁵³.

Canopy Temperature (CT)

The canopy temperature is closely associated with the development of stress due to drought and heat, and these two conditions appear to share a common genetic foundation⁷¹. Lopes and Reynolds asserted in 2010 that recent studies indicate that in times of drought and heat stress, the temperature of the canopy correlates with deeper roots⁷². The cooler canopy is linked to genetic differences in stomatal conductance under heat, and canopy temperature selection can help improve heat tolerance⁷³.

Membrane Thermostability

It was discovered that the thermostability of membranes is a heritable trait in 1998⁷⁴. Heat shock causes protein denaturation and increases the unsaturation of fatty acids, which subsequently hinders the movement of water, ions, and organic solutes across membranes, thereby disrupting cellular activity. "In plant swelling, certain characteristics of thylakoid membranes can be observed, such as the physical separation of the chlorophyll light harvesting complex 2 from the PS2 core complex 2 from the PS2 core complex. This results in increased leakiness and disruption of PS2-mediated electron transport"⁷⁴.

Chlorophyll Fluorescence and Chlorophyll Content

It has been recommended to consider chlorophyll fluorescence (CFL) in grain productivity under water stress conditions when choosing heat and drought resistant wheat stress genotypes. The CFL is a direct consequence of production; therefore, genotypes with larger yields will have

higher CFL values, suggesting that the CFL can be used to screen for tolerant genotypes. In wheat genotypes, CFL can serve as an indirect determinant of photosynthetic efficiency. The characteristics of CFL, Chl, and TGW were analyzed in RIL mapped populations by crossing heat sensitive genotypes with heat-tolerant ones. This analysis revealed that 17 out of 112 RILs exhibited a heat susceptibility index (HSI) of less than 1 for all characteristics⁷⁵.

Water Relation

An indicator for dehydration tolerance is Relative water content (RWC), which represents the internal status of water and the metabolic activities within the plant tissue. While facing drought stress, a continuous drop in RWC was recorded in various plant⁷⁶. As described by⁷⁴, comparisons between fully hydrated leaves and those subjected to water deficit conditions show that patterns of water loss from excised leaves can be used to indirectly evaluate relationships among leaf structure, cuticular thickness, and transpiration rates. Traits that maintain a balance between transpiration and water retention are considered advantageous for drought resistance, as such leaves experience reduced water loss through evapotranspiration and retain hydration for longer periods⁷⁷. In addition, dehydration tolerance is closely associated with the activation of antioxidant systems, which are induced under heat and drought stress as a consequence of increased osmotic potential in stressed leaf tissues⁷⁸. Heat stress increases the hydraulic conductivity of cell membranes and plant tissues due to elevated aquaporin activity⁷⁹, with this effect being more pronounced in water of lower viscosity⁸⁰.

Osmotic Balance

The accumulation of low molecular weight organic solutes may lead to osmotic adjustment in plants suffering from drought. To uphold osmotic balance, plants

will gather and generate suitable solutes like amino acids, sugars, and polyols as a reaction to drought stress⁸¹. Sugars, to a much greater extent than proline, have emerged as a vital substitute for water in cases of acute dehydration, leading to the creation of a hydration shell around proteins⁸².

Hormonal Effect

According to Thompson, abscisic acid production can affect drought adaptation through two mechanisms: the avoidance of dehydration and the tolerance of dehydration⁸³. Abscisic acid (ABA) is acknowledged as a chemical signal from root to shoot, restraining leaf growth and initiating short term reactions like stomatal closure. Moreover, in drought-stressed wheat, yield is closely associated with ABA, which has been identified as a promoter of root development⁸⁴. Several additional growth regulators, including auxin, cytokinin, gibberellins, steroids, jasmonic acid, ethylene, as well as other factors such as nitrogen and pH levels, are synthesized or broken down in response to osmotic stress⁸⁵. Prior studies have shown that ABA is generated in xylem tissues during periods of drought, and this production affects grain filling by influencing the expression of genes related to glucose metabolism and cell division; it is subsequently transported to reproductive organs. Drought causes an increase in ABA accumulation in leaves, stems and root exudates, while simultaneously decreasing leaf cytokinin levels⁸⁶.

Oxidative Damage

Plant exposed to heat and drought typically produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are highly detrimental, including singlet oxygen molecules, superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals (OH)^{35,87}. A complete havoc occurs to plant's lipid membrane, proteins, nucleic acids and pigments for photosynthetic activity, resulting in cell death and eventually the entire plant and its

productivity⁸⁸. Conversely, the determination effects of drought stress are influenced by its duration, timing and intensity⁸⁸. The level of water stress is responsible for peroxidation of membranes and organelles, activation or inactivation of enzymes, and destruction of nucleic acids, with these effects being proportional to the amount of ROS generated⁸⁹. Malonic dialdehyde (MDA) has been considered a reliable sign of membrane degradation for a long time. A previous study indicated that the level of lipid peroxidation caused by ROS is mirrored in membrane stability⁹⁰.

Photosynthesis and Gaseous Exchange

In plants subjected to water stress, the primary indicator for assessing the level of photosynthesis is the fluctuation of photosynthetic pigment concentration. The drought rate is inversely related to the photosynthetic rate of cereals, indicating that drought reduces the photosynthetic rate in cereals⁹¹. In 2015, Panday and Shukla, reported that carbon dioxide diffusional limitations arise when stomata close prematurely in response to drought-induced turgor loss⁹². This led to a reduction in the activity of photosynthetic enzymes, and triosephosphate formation components, as well as a decrease in the photochemical efficiency of photosystem 2-these are the primary factors constraining the rate of photosynthesis⁹². When comparing the limitations of photosynthesis to those caused by droughts resulting from metabolic distortions, it becomes evident that the latter are more complex than those of stomata, which are primarily due to a reduced production of photosynthetic pigments⁹³. As a reaction to drought, both mesophyll conductance and stomatal conductance to CO₂ decline. Heat stress has a significant effect on photosynthesis, resulting in a reduction due to reduced leaf surface area expansion, malfunctioning photosynthetic machinery, premature leaf aging, and a related decline in wheat yield^{94,94}.

The biochemical Underpinning of Wheat's drought Tolerance Mechanisms

Numerous biochemical processes help wheat and other plants become more drought-tolerant. Some of these include decreased Rubisco efficiency, elevated stress-related chemicals such as glutathione MDHA, and the activation of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, CAT, APX, GR, GST, MDHAR). Plant's mechanism of antioxidants to counter ROS (like-hydrogen peroxide, superoxide anions, singlet oxygen, and hydroxyl radicals) helps as biochemical strategy for coping the drought conditions^{11,12}. Biochemical changes within the plant's pathways helps in removal of these ROS, which may otherwise lead to oxidative injury⁹⁶. Soluble sugars, inorganic ions functions as osmotic regulators during water uptake within the cell⁹⁷⁻⁹⁹. Investigation in wheat has led to findings that genotypes with higher levels of osmo-protectants and lower level of malondialdehyde favours drought tolerance^{100,101}. In periods of water stress, polyamines (PAs) play a crucial role in maintaining the integrity of cell membranes and nucleic acids¹³. Studies carried out show that higher polyamine levels promote crop growth under water stress, corroborated by previous research¹⁰². During drought, the activity of CAT, a quickly reversible protein found in leaf cells, diminishes, as seen under stress conditions¹⁰³.

Enhancement of Gene Expression and Gene Repression in Response to Drought Stress

It is well known that plants respond to stress with transcription factors of the AP2/ERF family. They are categorized into subfamilies in wheat, comprising DREB, ERF, AP2, and RAV. During stress¹⁰⁴, ERFs are activated quickly, and their study has been the focus of researchers enhancing drought tolerance through overexpression. Superior amount of chlorophyll and proline, along with the triggering of downstream genes by binding to cis-

elements of the GCC-box, are likely responsible for this¹⁷. TaERF1 from wheat overexpression enhances salt resistance, cold, and drought by triggering stress-related Erb origin¹⁸. At ERF019, orthologs could strengthen wheat's aridity toleration manufacture¹⁰⁵.

Although researchers have thoroughly investigated the activation of genes, our comprehension of how gene are deactivated due to environmental change is limited. It was discovered that certain

molecular patterns play a role in the inhibition of gene transcription¹⁰⁶. The ethylene responsive element binding factors is linked to the EAR motif¹⁰⁷, the TLLLFR motif¹⁰⁸, the R/KLFGV motif¹⁰⁹, and the LxLxPP motif¹¹⁰. These patterns attract co-repressors and chromatin modifiers, aiding in the reduction of gene expression²¹. To date the ERF motif is the most commonly identified active transcriptional repression motif in plants.

Table 1: Genomic regions associated with drought tolerance, identified in wheat using different GWAS models, their algorithms, and precision.

Algorithm/ Software Used	Category	Primary function or typical use in GWAS Context	Typical Strength/ Maintained	QTL/MTA/SNP marker for drought tolerance in wheat
TASSSEL- MLM ¹¹³	Single- locus MLM (QTL)	Investigate SNP-trait associations for drought indices (SSI/STI, root/shoot attributes) while keeping kinship and population structure under control, generates Manhattan/Q-Q for claming MTAs.	Kinship+PCA has good control over false positives; it is frequently used and simple to comprehend for candidate gene lookup around important SNPs. (Used in a 90K marker drought study conducted in 2024).	90K SNPs array(Illumina 90K iSelect) ; BS00086777_51, wsnp_BE426418A_Ta_2_1
BLINK ¹¹⁴	Multi- locus/ LD- informed selection	Finds stable drought-associated SNPs by selecting markers using LD+BIC to identify MTAs with greater power and fewer false positive for dense SNP panels.	More statistical power and quicker runtimes on dense SNP data compared to earlier MLMs; often used in conjunction with FarmCPU to cross-validate signals in 2024-2025 investigations.	GWAS analyses using 25K/35K/array and /GBS SNP set
FarmCPU ¹¹⁴	MLMM/ multi- marker associatio n (SNP)	Boost the complex's power, polygenic drought feature by minimizing structural confounding and confounding and concurrently fitting several associated indications.	Superior power compared to single-marker MLM for moderate effect loci; FarmCPUpp is popular in the 2024-2025 wheat GWAS because it speeds up memory and computation for high SNP counts.	GWAS studies with Infinium arrays- 25K/35K/GBS datasets
rrBLUP ¹¹⁵	QTL (Genomic prediction and	Predict breeding values during drought and calculate marker	Robust for polygenic traits and breeding applications (GS); enhances GWAS by	wsnp_CAP12_c948_496702, BS00023006_51, Kukri_rep_c10155

	marker-effect estimation [GS])	effects (complements GWAS) in drought studies to assess the precision of WUE, GY, and drought index predictions.	allowing selection even in cases where individual MTAs are modes and by sorting marker by effect	0_113, Excalibur_c59090_145
GAPIT (R package: MLMM/BLINK/FarmCPU wrappers) ¹¹⁴	Multi-method GWAS framework (SNP)	Make many GWAS algorithms (MLM, MLMM, FarmCPU and BLINK) and plotting/post-GWAS reporting easily accessible. This is helpful when authors test multiple methods on the same wheat drought dataset.	Flexibility-allows for reproducible runs of various models, effective plotting, and model comparison (which is why numerous 2024-25 paper utilize multiple methods through GAPIT)	25K-90K arrays, GBS (used as analysis framework)
k-mer/alignment-free GWAS ¹¹⁶	Sequence-based (k-mer) association mapping captures SNPs, indel, PAVs not on arrays	Identify variants (structural and presence/absence) that array SNPs miss and uncover novel drought-related loci not included in SNP arrays	Effective for capturing non-SNP variation (PAV, gene presence) and identifying novel loci beyond array coverage; valuable in diverse germplasm panels. (Newly developed approach in wheat studies of 2025)	GBS miss Structure variants
CMLM ¹¹⁷	QTL	It combines fixed SNP markers and random effects within a compressed framework to effectively identify marker-trait association.	Lessens the need for computation and manages false positives more effectively than basic MLM	M788, M1576 and M7199
PLINK ¹¹⁸	QC/ basic association pipeline (GLM/MLM/CMLM/SUPER)	Before the plant GWAS pipeline, genotype QC, filtering, LD trimming and basic association testing are frequently utilized.	Quick, reliable file conversions and genotype QC_ a crucial pre-GWAS phase.	Downstream GWAS; primary SNP
EMMA ¹¹⁹	Mixed model association; SNP	Effective exact/approximate mixed model tests that manage kinship; serves as a substitute for GEMMA/EMMA	Significantly quicker than the previous version of EMMA; suitable for extensive SNP collections	Exact genome-wide association analysis

GWAS and QTL/MTA/SNP Markers for Drought Tolerance in Wheat

Developments in high-throughput genotyping technologies together with enhanced computational capabilities have allowed researchers to carry research for genome-wide association studies, which fundamentally transform quantitative trait mapping. The scientific community previously employed linkage mapping methods, which had low resolution and required extensive time for mapping biparental populations, but GWAS enables the detection of genetic markers that link to various traits across multiple populations¹¹¹. High-density genotyping combined with high-throughput phenotyping enables GWAS to detect single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) linking to beneficial traits across diverse genetic populations. GWAS provides better resolution through the recognition of candidate genes along with their associated single nucleotide polymorphisms from historical recombination events which enables the study of natural diversity in various populations¹¹². The unravelling of complex plant traits through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) brings new hope for scientists to establish detailed maps of genetic loci controlling specific traits. GWAS have proven effective for identifying gene candidates and genetic markers that are responsible for wheat tolerance to heat stress and drought (Table 1).

Effect of Climate Change on Wheat

According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)¹²⁰, there is a likelihood that the earth's temperature may rise by 6.4 °C if human activity continues to contribute to global warming in the current trajectory. As a result, sea levels will increase by much to 59 centimetres by the end of the twenty-first century due to glacier melting. Natural disasters like floods, droughts, storms, cyclones, and altered precipitation patterns are all made

more likely by climate change. Temperature, humidity, and rainfall changes have a negative effect on crop plant yield since agriculture is highly climate dependent and sensitive to agro-climatic conditions^{121,122,123}. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) have predicted that extreme abiotic stressors like drought, high temperatures, salt, etc. may cut wheat output by 20-30%, particularly in developing nations¹²⁴. Furthermore, a number of biotic (such wheat blast disease^{125,126} and unfriendly climatic phenomena (including drought, heat stress, unpredictable rain, hailstorms, and salt) brought on by global climate change have resulted in yield loss¹²⁴. Additionally, a meta-analysis revealed that yield loss could happen in temperate and subtropical locations for every 2 °C increase in temperature¹²⁷. According to a different study, Asseng et al.¹²⁸ calculated that every 1 °C increase in temperature would result in a 6% decrease in wheat yield, or roughly 42 million tons of wheat¹²⁴. The intensity of the drought has a notable effect on wheat productivity among other abiotic stresses^{124,129}. Crop growth is harmed by drought stress at every stage. Drought during the early stages of wheat growth results in poor seedling stand establishment and fewer tillers per unit area.

Conclusions

After rice and maize, wheat is the most significant staple cereal. Due to the growing population's need for food, the demand for wheat is rising daily in both developed and developing nations. According to estimates, wheat production in developing countries could rise by 60% by 2050 in order to meet the growing demand from the world's expanding population. Abiotic stressors like heat, cold, salinity, and drought also cause a 20-30% reduction in wheat productivity, especially in underdeveloped nations. Therefore, innovative methods are needed for sustainable wheat production to

mitigate the negative effects on wheat productivity and guarantee the food and nutritional security of the growing population.

Most breeding efforts worldwide prioritize increasing yield, but the current breeding pipelines are not optimized for choosing drought-adaptive and constitutive characteristics and yield. Integrating advanced phenotyping assays across multiple environments, coupled with high-throughput phenotyping technologies, can enhance selection accuracy for complex quantitative traits, and expedite the development of drought-tolerant cultivars. Modern breeding approaches increasingly rely on molecular markers and genomic tools to identify quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and candidate genes associated with drought tolerance in wheat. Drought responsive genomic loci encoding for gene of interest through various approaches like QTL mapping, Single nucleotide polymorphism detection, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) will enable researchers to precisely impart resilience in existing elite wheat cultivars through breeding. High-throughput methods for genome sequencing with advances in technology, enables researchers to mine for better genomic loci and genes involved in regulation during drought stress. Integration of genomic understanding with plant's physiological resilience acts as effective strategy for the development and improvement of climate resilient wheat lines, capable to counter drought and heat stress.

References

1. Mosalam M, Nemr RA, Aljabri M, Said AA and El-Soda M 2025, Exploring genomic loci and candidate genes associated with drought tolerance indices in spring wheat evaluated under two levels of drought. *BMC Plant Biology*. **25**(1) 408.
2. Ahmad A, Aslam Z, Javed T, Sadam H, Raza A, Shabbir R and Poblete F M 2022, Screening of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes for Drought Tolerance through Agronomic and Physiological Response. *Agronomy*. **12**(2) 287.
3. Mahdavi Z, Rashidi V, Yarnia M, Aharizad S and Roustaii M 2023, Evaluation of yield traits and tolerance indices of different wheat genotypes under drought stress conditions. *Cereal Research Communications*. **51**(3) 659-669.
4. Shewry PR and Hey SJ 2015, The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. *Food and Energy Security*. **4**(3) 178-202.
5. Rana AW 2020, Rationalization of wheat markets in Pakistan: Policy options. *International Food Policy Research Institute*. DOI: 10.2499/p15738coll2.133728
6. Shiferaw B, Smale M, Braun H J, Duveiller E, Reynolds M and Muricho G 2013, Crops that feed the world 10. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by wheat in global food security. *Food Security*. **5**(3) 291-317.
7. Juliano BO 1999, Comparative nutritive value of various staple foods. *Food Reviews International*. **15**(4) 399-434.
8. Taghizadeh-Alisaraei A, Tatari A, Khanali M and Keshavarzi M 2023, Potential of biofuels production from wheat straw biomass, current achievements and perspectives: a review. *Biofuels*. **14**(1) 79-92.
9. Tadesse W, Bishaw Z and Assefa S 2019, Wheat production and breeding in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and opportunities in the face of climate change. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*. **11**(5), 696-715.
10. Mallick S 2009, Geoff Tansey and Tasmin Rajotte (eds.), The Future Control of Food: A Guide to International Negotiations and Rules on Intellectual Property, Biodiversity and Food Security. *Agriculture and Human Values*. **26** 245-246.

11. Sgherri C, Pinzino C and Quartacci MF 2017, Reactive Oxygen Species and Photosynthetic Functioning: Past and Present. In: *Reactive oxygen Species in Plants: Boon or Bane Revisiting the Role of ROS* (Eds.) Singh VP, Singh S, Tripathi DK, Prasad SM, Chauhan DK. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. pp 137-155.
12. Abdelgawad H, Zinta G, Hegab MM, Pandey R, Asard H and Abuelsoud W 2016, High Salinity Induces Different Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Responses in Maize Seedlings Organs. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. **7** 276.
13. Giordano M, Petropoulos SA and Rouphael Y 2021, Response and Defence Mechanisms of Vegetable Crops against Drought, Heat and Salinity Stress. *Agriculture*. **11** (5)463.
14. Liang X and Ye Q 2024, Integrating dehydration tolerance and avoidance in drought adaptation. *Journal of Plant Ecology*. **17** (6) 073.
15. Whitmore JS 2000, Soil Management in Relation to Drought. In: *Drought Management on Farmland*. (Ed.) Whitmore JS. Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands. pp 21-35.
16. Chaves M M, Maroco JP and Pereira JS 2003, Understanding plant responses to drought — from genes to the whole plant. *Functional Plant Biology*. **30**(3) 239-264.
17. Rong W, Lin Qi L and Wang A 2014, The ERF transcription factor TaERF3 promotes tolerance to salt and drought stresses in wheat. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. **12**(4) 468-479.
18. Xu Z-S, Xia L-Q, Chen M, Cheng XG, Zhang RY, Li LC and Zhao YX 2007, Isolation and molecular characterization of the *Triticum aestivum* L. ethylene-responsive factor 1 (TaERF1) that increases multiple stress tolerance. *Plant Molecular Biology*. **65**(6) 719-732.
19. Bapela T, Shimelis H, Tsilo TJ and Mathew I 2022, Genetic Improvement of Wheat for Drought Tolerance: Progress, Challenges and Opportunities. *Plants* **11**(10) 1331.
20. Kagale S and Rozwadowski K 2010, Small yet effective: The Ethylene responsive element binding factor-associated Amphiphilic Repression (EAR) motif. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*. **5**(6) 691-694.
21. Kagale S and Rozwadowski K 2011, EAR motif-mediated transcriptional repression in plants: An underlying mechanism for epigenetic regulation of gene expression. *Epigenetics*. **6**(2) 141-146.
22. Sherif S, El-Sharkawy I, Paliyath G and Jayasankar S 2013, PpERF3b, a transcriptional repressor from peach, contributes to disease susceptibility and side branching in EAR-dependent and -independent fashions. *Plant Cell Reports*. **32**(7) 1111-1124.
23. Ashraf, M 2010, Inducing drought tolerance in plants: Recent advances. *Biotechnology Advances*. **28**(1) 169-183.
24. Verma V, Foulkes MJ, Worland AJ, Sylvester-Bradley R, Caligari PDS and Snape JW 2004, Mapping quantitative trait loci for flag leaf senescence as a yield determinant in winter wheat under optimal and drought-stressed environments. *Euphytica*. **135**(3) 255-263.
25. Quarrie SA, Steed A, Calestani C, Semikhodskii A, Lebreton C, Chinoy C and Steele N 2005, A high-density genetic map of hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) from the cross *Chinese Spring* × *SQ1* and its use to compare QTLs for grain yield across a range of environments. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. **110**(5) 865-880.
26. Baloch FS, Altaf MT, Liaqat W, Bedir M, Nadeem MA and Coban N 2023, Recent advancements in the breeding of sorghum crop: current status and future strategies for marker-assisted breeding. *Frontiers in Genetics*. **14** 1150616.
27. Quarrie SA, Quarrie SP, Radošević R, Rancic D, Barnes JD, Ceoloni C and

- Dodig D 2006, Dissecting a wheat QTL for yield present in a range of environments: from the QTL to candidate genes. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **57**(11) 2627-2637.
28. Maccaferri M, Sanguineti MC, Corneti S, Bort J, DeAmbrogio E and Salem MB 2008, Quantitative Trait Loci for Grain Yield and Adaptation of Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) Across a Wide Range of Water Availability. *Genetics*. **178**(1) 489-511.
 29. Mathews KL, Malosetti M, Chapman S, McIntyre L, Reynolds M, Shorter R and Eeuwijk FV 2008, Multi-environment QTL: mixed models for drought stress adaptation in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. **117**(7) 1077-1091.
 30. Fang Y and Xiong L 2015, General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. *Cellular and Molecular Life Science*. **72**(4) 673-689.
 31. Chang, PC 2010, Gene Expression: Profiles of Response to Water Stress at the Jointing Stage in Wheat. *Agricultural Sciences in China* **9**(3) 325-330.
 32. Rizza F, Badeck FW, Cattivelli L, Lidestri O, Fonzo ND and Stanca AM 2004, Use of a Water Stress Index to Identify Barley Genotypes Adapted to Rainfed and Irrigated Conditions. *Crop Science*. **44**(6) 2127-2137.
 33. Allahverdiyev TI 2015, Physiological Traits of Durum Wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) and Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Genotypes under Drought Stress. *Agricultural Sciences*. **6**(8) 848-859.
 34. Noman A, Ali Q, Naseem J, Javed MT, Kanwal H and Islam W 2018, Sugar beet extract acts as a natural bio-stimulant for physio-biochemical attributes in water stressed wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. **40**(6) 110.
 35. Suzuki N, Miller G, Salazar C, Shulaev E and Cortes DF 2013, Temporal-Spatial Interaction between Reactive Oxygen Species and Abscissic Acid Regulates Rapid Systemic Acclimation in Plants. *The Plant Cell*. **25**(9) 3553-3569.
 36. Fan M, Shen J, Yuan L, Jiang R, Chen X, Davies WJ and Zhang F 2012, Improving crop productivity and resource use efficiency to ensure food security and environmental quality in China. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **63**(1) 13-24.
 37. Reynolds MP, Quilligan E, Aggarwal PK, Bansal KC, Cavalieri AJ and Chapotin SM 2016, An integrated approach to maintaining cereal productivity under climate change. *Global Food Security*. **8** 9-18.
 38. Pandey GC, Mehta G, Sharma P and Sharma V 2019, Terminal heat tolerance in wheat: An overview. *Journal of Cereal Research*. **11** 1-16.
 39. Easterling W and Apps M 2005, Assessing the Consequences of: Climate Change for Food and forest Resources: A View from the IPCC. *Climatic Change*. **70**(1) 165-189.
 40. Kaur R, Bedi S and Kaur P 2022, Evaluation of growth and yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under heat stress conditions. *Agricultural Research Journal*. **59**(4).
 41. Lobell DB, Burke MB, Tebaldi C, Mastrandrea MD, Falcon WP and Naylor RL 2008, Prioritizing Climate Change Adaptation Needs for Food Security in 2030. *Science*. **319**(5863) 607-610.
 42. Mondal S, Singh RP, Crossa J, Sharma I, Chatrath R and Singh GP 2013, Earliness in wheat: A key to adaptation under terminal and continual high temperature stress in South Asia. *Field Crops Research*. **151**, 19-26.
 43. Murari L, Singh KK, Rathore LS, Srinivasan G and Saseendran SA 1998, Vulnerability of rice and wheat yields in NW India to future changes in climate. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. **89**(2) 101-114.

44. Porter JR 2005, Rising temperatures are likely to reduce crop yields. *Nature*. **436**(7048) 174-174.
45. Gupta NK, Agarwal S, Agarwal VP, Nathawat NS, Gupta S and Singh G 2013, Effect of short-term heat stress on growth, physiology and antioxidative defence system in wheat seedlings. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. **35**(6) 1837-1842.
46. Begg JE and Turner NC 1976, Crop Water Deficits. *Advances in Agronomy*. **28**, 161-217.
47. Praba ML, Cairns JE, Babu RC and Lafitte HR 2009, Identification of Physiological Traits Underlying Cultivar Differences in Drought Tolerance in Rice and Wheat. *Journal of Agronomy Crop Science*. **195**(1) 30-46.
48. Hasanuzzaman M, Hossain MA, Da Silva JAT and Fujita M 2011, Plant: Response and Tolerance to Abiotic Oxidative Stress: Antioxidant Defense Is a Key Factor. In: *Crop Stress and its Management: perspectives and Strategies*. (Eds.) Venkateswarlu B, Shanker AK, Shanker C, Maheswari M, Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands. pp 261-315.
49. Krasensky J and Jonak C 2012, Drought, salt, and temperature stress-induced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **63**(4) 1593-1608.
50. Farooq M, Bramley H, Palta JA and Siddique KHM 2011, Heat Stress in Wheat during Reproductive and Grain-Filling Phases. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. **30**(6) 491-507.
51. Wang X, Cai J, Jiang D, Liu F, Dai T and Cao W 2011, Pre-anthesis high-temperature acclimation alleviates damage to the flag leaf caused by post-anthesis heat stress in wheat. *Journal of Plant Physiology*. **168**(6) 585-593.
52. Wang X, Cai J, Liu F, Yu MJH, Jiang D, Dai T and Cao W 2012, Pre-anthesis high temperature acclimation alleviates the negative effects of post-anthesis heat stress on stem stored carbohydrates remobilization and grain starch accumulation in wheat. *Journal of Cereal Science* **55**(5) 331-336.
53. Wang X, Cai J, Liu F, Dai T, Cao W, Wollenweber B and Jiang: D 2014, Multiple heat priming enhances thermo-tolerance to a later high temperature stress via improving subcellular antioxidant activities in wheat seedlings. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*. **74** 185-192.
54. Oshino T, Miura S, Kikuchi S, Hamada K, Yano K, Watanabe M and Higashitani 2011, Auxin depletion in barley plants under high-temperature conditions represses DNA proliferation in organelles and nuclei via transcriptional alterations. *Plant Cell & Environment*. **34**(2) 284-290.
55. Tuberosa R and Salvi S 2006, Genomics-based approaches to improve drought tolerance of crops. *Trends in Plant Science*. **11**(8) 405-412.
56. Maestri E, Klueva N, Perrotta C, Gulli M, Nguyen HT and Marmiroli N 2002, Molecular genetics of heat tolerance and heat shock proteins in cereals. *Plant Molecular Biology*. **48**(5) 667-681.
57. Pandey GC, Sareen S, Siwach P and Tiwari R 2014, Molecular Characterization of Heat Tolerance in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L): Using Differences in Thousand-Grain Weights (dTGW) as a Potential Indirect Selection Criterion. *Cereal Research Communications*. **42**(1) 38-46.
58. Lim PO, Kim HJ and Gil Nam H 2007, Leaf: Senescence. *Annu Rev Plant Biology*. **58** 115-136.
59. Haque MS, Kjaer KH, Rosenqvist E, Sharma DK and Ottosen CO 2014, Heat stress and recovery of photosystem II efficiency in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars acclimated to different growth temperatures. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. **99** 1-8.

60. Lopes MS and Reynolds MP 2012, Stay-green in spring wheat can be determined by spectral reflectance measurements (normalized difference vegetation index) independently from phenology. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **63**(10) 3789-3798.
61. Fardmanesh M 2004, Response Analysis and Modeling of High Temperature Superconductor Edge Transition Bolometers. In: *High temperature superconductivity 2, Engineering materials*. (Ed.) Narlikar, AV, Springer Verlag, Hidelberg, Berlin. pp. 477-536.
62. Mathur S, Agrawal D and Jajoo A 2014, Photosynthesis: Response to high temperature stress. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*. **137** 116-126.
63. Dias A and Lidon FC 2010, Bread and durum wheat tolerance under heat stress: A synoptical overview. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*. **22**(6) 412.
64. Reynolds MP, Pierre CS, Saad ASI, Vargas M and Condon AG 2007, Evaluating: Potential Genetic Gains in Wheat Associated with Stress-Adaptive Trait Expression in Elite Genetic Resources under Drought and Heat Stress. *Crop Science*. **47** S-172.
65. Hays DB, Do JH, Mason RE, Morgan G and Finlayson SA 2007, Heat stress induced ethylene production in developing wheat grains induces kernel abortion and increased maturation in a susceptible cultivar. *Plant Science*. **172**(6) 1113-1123.
66. Ji X, Behrouz Shiran B, Wan J, Lewis DC, Condon AG, Richards RA and Dolferus R 2010, Importance of pre-anthesis anther sink strength for maintenance of grain number during reproductive stage water stress in wheat. *Plant Cell & Environment*. **33** (6) 926-942.
67. Afif H, Hormaza JI and Herrero M 2009, Global warming and sexual plant reproduction. *Trends in Plant Science*. **14**(1) 30-36.
68. Barnabás B, Jäger K and Fehér A 2008, The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. *Plant Cell & Environment*. **31**(1) 11-38.
69. Chauhan H, Khurana N, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP and Khurana P 2011, Identification and characterization of high temperature stress responsive genes in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and their regulation at various stages of development. *Plant Molecular Biology*. **75**(1) 35-51.
70. Asthir B and Bhatia S 2014, In vivo studies on artificial induction of thermotolerance to detached panicles of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars under heat stress. *Journal of Food and Science Technology*. **51**(1) 118-123.
71. Pinto RS, Reynolds MP, Mathews KL, McIntyre CL, Olivares-Villegas JJ and Chapman SC 2010, Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to minimize confounding agronomic effects. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. **121**(6) 1001-1021.
72. Lopes MS and Reynolds MP 2010, Partitioning of assimilates to deeper roots is associated with cooler canopies and increased yield under drought in wheat. *Functional Plant Biology*. **37**(2) 147-156.
73. Reynolds MP and Condon AG 2007, Quantifying Potential Genetic Gains in Wheat Yield: Using a Conceptual Model of Drought Adaptation. In: *Wheat Production in Stressed Environments, Proceedings of the 7th International Wheat Conference*, (Ed.) Buck HT, Nisi JE, Salomon N, Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands. pp 331-340.
74. Fokar M, Nguyen HT and Blum A 1998, Heat tolerance in spring wheat. I. Estimating cellular thermotolerance and its heritability. *Euphytica*. **104**(1) 1-8.

75. Kushwah A, Bhatia D and Singh I 2021, Identification of stable heat tolerance QTLs using inter-specific recombinant inbred line population derived from GPF 2 and ILWC 292. *PLoS ONE*. **16**(8) e0254957.
76. Allahverdiyev T 2016, Yield and yield traits of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* desf.) and bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under drought stress. *Genetika*. **48**(2) 717-727.
77. Izanloo A, Condon AG, Langridge P, Tester M and Schnurbusch T 2008, Different mechanisms of adaptation to cyclic water stress in two South Australian bread wheat cultivars. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **59**(12) 3327-3346.
78. Ahmad P, Jaleel CA, Salem MA, Nabi G and Sharma S 2010, Roles of enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants in plants during abiotic stress. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*. **30**(3) 161-175.
79. Martínez-Ballesta MC, López-Pérez L, Muries B, Muñoz-Azcarate O and Carvajal M 2009, Climate Change and Plant Water: Balance: The Role of Aquaporins - A Review. In: Climate change, Intercropping, Pest Control and Beneficial Microorganisms. (Ed.) Lichtfouse, E, Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands. pp 71-89.
80. Cochard H, Venisse JS, Barigah TS, Brunel N, Guilliot A, Tyree MTT and Sakr S 2007, Putative Role of Aquaporins in Variable Hydraulic Conductance of Leaves in Response to Light. *Plant Physiology*. **143**(1) 122-133.
81. Hussain HA, Hussain S, Khaliq A, Ashraf U, Anjum SA, Men S and Wang L 2018, Chilling and Drought: Stresses in Crop Plants: Implications, Cross Talk, and Potential Management Opportunities. *Frontier in Plant Science*. **9** 393.
82. Bowne JB, Erwin TA, Juttner J, Schnurbusch T, Langridge P, Bacic A and Roessner U 2012, Responses of Leaf Tissues from Wheat Cultivars of Differing Drought Tolerance at the Metabolite Level. *Molecular Plant*. **5**(2) 418-429.
83. Thompson AJ, Andrews J, Mulholland BJ, Hilton HW, Horridge JS and Farquhar FD 2007, Overproduction of Abscissic Acid in Tomato Increases Transpiration Efficiency and Root Hydraulic Conductivity and Influences Leaf Expansion. *Plant Physiology*. **143**(4) 1905-1971.
84. Singh K, Negi C, Kumar A, Chaturvedi N and Vyas P 2025, Heavy: Metal Toxicity in Cereals: Uptake Mechanisms, Physiological Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies. *Toxics*. **13**(12) 1074.
85. Lamaoui M, Jemo M, Datla R and Bekkaoui F 2018, Heat and Drought Stresses in Crops and Approaches for Their Mitigation. *Frontiers in Chemistry*. **6** 26.
86. Yang J, Zhang J, Wang Z, Xu G and Zhu Q 2004, Activities of Key Enzymes in Sucrose-to-Starch Conversion in Wheat Grains Subjected to Water Deficit during Grain Filling. *Plant Physiology*. **135**(3) 1621- 1629.
87. Marutani Y, Yamauchi Y, Kimura Y, Mizutani M and Sugimoto Y 2012, Damage to photosystem II due to heat stress without light-driven electron flow: involvement of enhanced introduction of reducing power into thylakoid membranes. *Planta*. **236**(2), 753-761.
88. Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Anee TI, Khan MIR and Fujita M 2018, Silicon-mediated regulation of antioxidant defense and glyoxalase systems confers drought stress tolerance in Brassica napus L. *South African Journal of Botany*. **115** 50-57.
89. El Keroumi IO Abderrahim, Dihazi A, Naamani K 1970, Use of morpho-physiological parameters and biochemical markers to select drought tolerant genotypes of durum wheat.

- Journal of Plant Stress Physiology*. **5** 1-7.
90. Sharma P, Sareen S, Saini M and Shefali 2017, Assessing genetic variation for heat stress tolerance in Indian bread wheat genotypes using morpho-physiological traits and molecular markers. *Plant Genetic Resources*. **15**(6) 539-547.
 91. Dawood MFA, Abeed AHA, Aldaby EES 2019, Titanium dioxide nanoparticles model growth kinetic traits of some wheat cultivars under different water regimes. *Plant Physiology Reports*. **24**(1) 129-140.
 92. Pandey V and Shukla A 2015, Acclimation and Tolerance Strategies of Rice under Drought Stress. *Rice Science*. **22**(4) 147-161.
 93. Rama Reddy NR, Ragimasalawada M, Sabbavarapu MM, Nadoor S and Patil JV 2014, Detection and validation of stay-green QTL in post-rainy sorghum involving widely adapted cultivar, M35-1 and a popular stay-green genotype B35. *BMC Genomics*. **15**(1) 909.
 94. Ashraf M and Harris PJC 2013, Photosynthesis under stressful environments: An overview. *Photosynthetica*. **51**(2) 163-190.
 95. Jajoo A 2014, A: Stress and Photosynthesis. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology* **137**, 1-3.
 96. Zhang H, Zhu J, Gong Z and Zhu J-K 2022, Abiotic stress responses in plants. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. **2**(2) 104-119.
 97. Chen Z and Gallie DR 2004, The Ascorbic Acid Redox State Controls Guard Cell Signaling and Stomatal Movement. *Plant Cell*. **16**(5) 1143-1162.
 98. Chinnusamy V, Schumaker K and Zhu JK 2003, Molecular genetic perspectives on cross-talk and specificity in abiotic stress signalling in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. **55**(395) 225-236.
 99. Seki M, Narusaka M, Ishida J, Nanjo T, Fujita M, Oono Y and Kamiya A 2002, Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. *The Plant Journal*. **31**(3) 279-292.
 100. Maathuis FJM, Filatov V, Herzyk P, Krijger GC, Chen S and Green BJ 2003, Transcriptome analysis of root transporters reveals participation of multiple gene families in the response to cation stress. *The Plant Journal*. **35**(6) 675-692.
 101. Capell T, Bassie L and Christou P 2004, Modulation of the polyamine biosynthetic pathway in transgenic rice confers tolerance to drought stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. **101**(26) 9909-9914.
 102. Moustafa-Farag M, Mahmoud A, Arnao MB, Sheteiwy MS, Dafea M, Soltan M and Ai S 2020, Melatonin-Induced Water Stress Tolerance in Plants: Recent Advances. *Antioxidants*. **9**(9) 809.
 103. Hasan MdM, Skalicky M, Jahan MS, Hossain MDN, Anwar Z, Nie ZF, Alabdallah NM, Brestic M, Vaclav Hejnak V, and Fang XW 2021, Spermine: Its Emerging Role in Regulating Drought Stress Responses in Plants. *Cells*. **10**(2) 261.
 104. Zhuang J, Chen J-M, Yao Q-H, Xiong F, Sun C-C, Zhou X-R, Zhang J and Xiong A 2011, "Discovery and expression profile analysis of AP2/ERF family genes from *Triticum aestivum*. *Molecular Biology Reports*. **38**(2) 745-753.
 105. Scarpeci TE, Freja VS, Zanor MI and Valle EM 2016, Overexpression of AtERF019 delays plant growth and senescence and improves drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Journal Of Experimental Botany*. **68**(3) 673-685.
 106. Payankaulam S, Li LM and Arnosti DN 2010, Transcriptional Repression:

- Conserved and Evolved Features. *Current Biology*. **20**(17) R764-R771.
107. Joshi S, Keller C and Perry SE 2021, The EAR: Motif in the *Arabidopsis* MADS Transcription Factor AGAMOUS-Like 15 Is Not Necessary to Promote Somatic Embryogenesis. *Plants*. **10**(4) 758.
 108. Matsui K, Umemura Y and Ohme-Takagi M 2008, AtMYBL2, a protein with a single MYB domain, acts as a negative regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal*. **55**(6) 954-967.
 109. Ikeda M and Ohme-Takagi MA 2009, Novel Group of Transcriptional Repressors in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant and Cell Physiology*. **50**(5) 970-975.
 110. Paponov IA, Teale W, Lang D, Paponov M, Reski R and Rensing SA, Palme K 2009, The evolution of nuclear auxin signalling. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*. **9**(1) 126.
 111. Liu H and Yan J 2019, Crop genome-wide association study: a harvest of biological relevance. *The Plant Journal*. **97**(1) 8-18.
 112. Sahito JH, Zhang H, Gishkori ZGN, Ma C, Wang Z, Ding D, Zhang X and Tang J 2024, Advancements and Prospects of Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) in Maize. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. **25**(3) 1918.
 113. Nouraei S, Mia MS, Liu H and Turner NC, Yan G 2024, Genome-wide association study of drought tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) identifies SNP markers and candidate genes. *Molecular Genetic Genomics*. **299**(1) 22.
 114. Kartseva T, Aleksandrov V, Alqudah AM, Schierenbeck M, Tasheva K, Börner A and Misheva S 2024, Novel Genomic Loci and Candidate Genes Associated with Plant Height in Bulgarian Bread Wheat via Multi-Model GWAS. *Plants*. **13**(19) 2775.
 115. Mourad KhA, Othman YIM, Kandeel DM, and Abdelghany M 2025, Assessing the drought tolerance of some sesame genotypes using agromorphological, physiological, and drought tolerance indices. *BMC Plant Biology*. **25**(1) 352.
 116. Jaegle B, Voichek Y, Haupt, Sotiropoulos AG, Gauthier K, Heuberger M and Jung E 2025, k-mer-based GWAS in a wheat collection reveals novel and diverse sources of powdery mildew resistance. *Genome Biology*. **26**(1) 172.
 117. Mathew I, Shimelis H, Shayanowako AIT, Laing M and Chaplot V 2019, Genome-wide association study of drought tolerance and biomass allocation in wheat. *PLoS ONE*. **14**(12) e0225383.
 118. Graffelman J and Weir BS 2016, Testing for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at biallelic genetic markers on the X chromosome. *Heredity*. **116**(6) 558-568.
 119. Zhou X and Stephens M 2012, Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association studies. *Nature Genetics*. **44**(7) 821-824.
 120. Myhre G, Shindell D, Bréon F-M, Collins W, Fuglestedt J, Huang J, Koch D, Lamarque J-F, Lee D, Mendoza B, Nakajima T, Robock A, Stephens G, Takemura T, Zhang H 2013, Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In: *IPCC, 2013: Climate change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (Ed.) Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley, Cambridge University Press New York. pp 659–740.
 121. Yohannes H 2016, A Review on Relationship between Climate Change and Agriculture. *Journal of Earth Science and Climate Change*. **7**(2) 335.
 122. Aryal JP, Sapkota TB, Khurana R, Khatri-Chhetri A and Rahut DB 2020, Climate change and agriculture in South Asia: adaptation options in

- smallholder production systems. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. **22**(6) 5045-5075.
123. Tun Oo A, Van Huylenbroeck G and Speelman S 2020, Measuring the: Economic Impact of Climate Change on Crop Production in the Dry Zone of Myanmar: A Ricardian Approach. *Climate*. **8**(1) 9.
 124. Hossain A, Skalicky M, Brestic M, Maitra S, Alam MA, Syed M and Hossain J 2021, Consequences and Mitigation Strategies of Abiotic Stresses in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under the Changing Climate. *Agronomy*. **11**(2) 241.
 125. Islam T, Croll D, Gladieux P, Soanes DM and Persoons A, Bhattacharjee P, Hossain MDS 2016, Emergence of wheat blast in Bangladesh was caused by a South American lineage of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *BMC Biology*. **14**(1) 84.
 126. Islam MT, Gupta DR, Hossain A, Roy KK, He X, Kabir MR, Singh PK, Khan MAR, Rahman M and Wang G-L 2020, Wheat blast: a new threat to food security. *Phytopathology Research*. **2**(1) 28.
 127. Abhinandan K, Skori L, Stanic M, Hickerson NMN, Jamshed M and Samuel MA 2018, Abiotic: Stress Signaling in Wheat - An Inclusive Overview of Hormonal Interactions During Abiotic Stress Responses in Wheat. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. **9** 734.
 128. Asseng S, Ewert F, Martre P, Rotter RP, Lobell DB and Kimball BA 2015, Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production. *Nature Climate Change*. **5**(2)143-147.
 129. Fathi A and Tari DB 2016, Effect of Drought Stress and its Mechanism in Plants. *International Journal of Life Sciences*. **10** 1-6.