SEED-BORNE MYCOFLORA OF WHEAT COLLECTED FROM RAJASTHAN WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO ALTERNARIA SPECIES

SHABANA PARVEEN and VIJAY R. KUMAR

Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, India.

The seed borne mycoflora of wheat is reported here which comprises of 22 fungal species. Four species of *Alternaria* were recorded. *A. alternata* was associated with seed samples collected from all the districts and was predominant.

Keywords: Agar plate test; Alternaria; Blotter test; Seed Mycoflora; Wheat.

Wheat is an important crop of Rajasthan. Extensive reviews are available for seed borne mycoflora of wheat¹⁻³. However, no systematic studies have been conducted on seed borne mycoflora of wheat in Rajasthan, therefore it was considered to investigate the seed borne mycoflora with special reference to *Alternaria* species.

37 samples of wheat seeds from nine district of Rajasthan have been collected and examined under stereobinocular microscope. The standard seed health testing method such as blotter test and agar plate method as prescribed by ISTA⁴ were used to analyse the seed borne mycoflora of wheat. For pretreatment seeds were pretreated with sodium hypochlorite with 1.0 per cent available chlorine for 1 minute. The percent incidence (PI) and relative percent occurrence (RPO) of different fungi obtained was calculated by the following formula-

 $PI = \frac{\text{Number of seeds on which a species occurred}}{\text{Total number of seeds analysed}} \times 100$

 $RPO = \frac{\text{Number of samples on which fungi recorded}}{\text{Total number of samples studied}} \times 100$ Standard taxonomic literature was followed

for determination of fungal species. 5-6

A total number of 22 fungal species were isolated both by blotter and agar plate method (Table 1). Cladosporium sp.; Epicoccum sp.; Helminthosporium sp.; and Mycelia sterilea (white) appeared only on blotter test. Similarly Myrothecium sp.; was detected only on agar plate. Several species of Alternaria viz. A. alternaria, A. tenuissima and A. triticina were recorded, besides this Aspergillus flavus and A. niger were associated

with the seed collected from all the nine districts, and were found to be dominant. Maximum per cent incidence and relative percent occurrence were recorded on A. alternata 7.45% and 89.1% by blotter method. Likewise Drechslera rostrata was minimum on agar plate (0.05%). D. rostrata and Curvularia curvata were least common as recorded in agar plate method (RPO-5.4).

Four species of Alternaria viz.A. alternata, A. tenuissima, A. triticina and A. triticola were recorded. However their region of prevalence in Rajasthan was variable.

A. alternata, A. tenuissima and A. triticina were associated with seeds collected from all the nine district and were found dominant (Table. 1). A. triticola was associated with seed samples collected from Ajmer, Bharatpur, Dholpur, Jaipur and Kota.

A. alternata is week parasite common to seeds of many crops including wheat. It has been reported to be seed borne and pathogenic to sunflower⁷; radish⁸; and wheat⁹.

A. triticina causing severe blighting on leaves, leaf sheath and glumes of wheat⁶. A. triticola causing fusiform, oval or irregular clear brown spots on leaves of wheat⁶. A. tenuissima was very common and recorded on a very wide range of plants, usually as a secondary invader rather than a primary parasite.

The measurements of the samples collected tallied with standard measurements. A. alternata (7-72 μ x 6-25 μ with short beaks and long chains); A. triticina (15-89 μ x 7-30 μ with long beak, 2-37 μ x 3-7 μ and short

ra maples studied 8 4 2 2 2 4 ra B A B A B A B A B A it a alternata 87 72 30 25 9 5 17 12 18 10 (8) (8) (3) (3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) ssima 34 12 11 7 3 4 2 1 8 5 ina 9 12 2 4 3 4 2 1 8 5 ina 9 12 2 4 3 4 2 1 8 5 ina 9 12 2 4 3 4 2 1 8 5 ina (0) (7) (2) (2) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) llus flavus 9 5 8 2 4 5 2 8 2 ina (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) squits (8) (5) (4) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) ina globosum 2 2 1 5 1 5 3 ina gorium sp. (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (3) (3) aria curvata 5 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Table 1. Seed borne mycoflora on whea	J)	Ain A	a on	WIIC	Bharatour	B B	Bhilwara Dausa	Dausa		Dholpur Gang	Gan	Ganganagar	r Jaipur	Ę	Xo		Swaima	dhopu			Relative percen	percer	
87 72 30 25 9 5 17 12 18 10 15 8 35 30 25 14 40 18 7.45 5.24 89.1 8 (8) (8) (3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (5) (4) (4) (3) (3) (5) (4) (4) (3) (5) (4) (4) (3) (5) (4) (4) (3) (5) (4) (4) (3) (5) (4) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	of Samples studied		. «	<u> </u>		4		2	7	•	4		2		2	S		0 1			1	Ccurre R.P.O.	nce	
87 72 30 25 9 5 17 12 18 10 15 8 35 30 25 14 40 18 7.45 5.24 89.1 (8) (8) (8) (3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (5) (4) (4) (3) (3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (5) (4) (4) (3) (3) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (5) (4) (4) (5) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (5) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2			0	4	ď	٥	a	⋖		1		В	4	В	4	В	Ą	В	A	В	Ą	В	A	
87 72 30 25 9 5 17 12 18 10 15 8 35 30 25 14 40 18 7.45 5.24 89.1 8 1.2 11 7 3 4 2 2 6 4 12 6 35 28 18 12 7 5 3.40 2.16 70.2 34 12 11 7 3 4 2 2 6 4 12 6 35 28 18 12 7 5 3.40 2.16 70.2 (5) (3) (4) (3) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (5) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	ycollora				1	1	1							ž.				4				ş.		
(8) (8) (3) (3) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (5) (4) (4) (3) (3) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (3) (3) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (3) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (5) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	otomotio olivenoto	100	27	77	3(15.0				2		15		35		25	14	40	81	7.45	5 24		81.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ופנוומנות מוופנווומות		S €	! @	; C	_				(2)		(2)		3		4	(3)	(3)	<u>6</u>	}			3.	
(5) (3) (4) (3) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (5) (3) (5) (4) (4) (2) (2) (6) (67.5 9 12 2 4 3 4 2 1 8 5 5 1 3 8 12 4 7 5 1.67 0.86 67.5 (6) (7) (2) (2) (1) (2) (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (1) (4) (3) (5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (67.5 1 3 1 2 - 1 2 - 1 3 1 - 2 - 1 0.18 0.10 10.8 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (1) (4) (3) (5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (10 10.8 (8) (5) (4) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (1) (1) (4) (2) (2) (1) (3) (3) (3) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (2) (4) (4) (2) (4) (4) (2) (4) (4) (4) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	tennissima		34	2						. ~1		12		35		18	12	,	S	3.40	2.16	70.2	59.4	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(5)	3	4	10000		80		(E)		(2)		(S)		3	4	4	(5)					
$(6) \ (7) \ (2) \ (2) \ (1) \ (2) \ (1) \ (1) \ (2) \ (2) \ (3) \ (4) \ (3) \ (4) \ ($	triticina) 6	12	. 71			S.		_		S		٣,		12	4	7	S	1.67	0.86	67.5	56.7	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	3.0		9	6	2	.5		ass N		Ξ		(2)	15	Ŧ		(5)	$\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$	3	(5)		!			
(1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (4) (5) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	triticola		-	m	7	1528	•					ı	ì	n		E	7	r	•	0.18	0.10	10.8	8.1	
8) (5) (4) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (10) (1) (1) (4) (2) (2) (1) (3) (3) (3) (4) (2) (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1			\in	Ξ		_				i				Ξ			\equiv				, E	i.		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	speroillus flavus		6	Ś	, ∞		4	2	7	·				9		4	(4)	7	ς.	16.2	19.0	70.2	43.2	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ó		(8)	(5)	į		Ŭ	_	\equiv					4		9		(3)	ල					
(3) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	fumigatus		9.	. 7					7	_				9		7		n	•	0.70	0.16	30.13	10.8	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3	Ξ		, 100	_	_	\equiv	Έ		(2)	_	2	-	Ξ		Ξ				2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	humicola		, v	<u>,</u> 4	, (1				•	r		-		00		1	•	c	_	0.59	0.24	27.0	13.5	•
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(3)	Ξ	_	<u>_</u>	こ						_	3				\equiv	Ξ			2° -		
(5) (2) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (3) (3) (1) (1) (4) (5) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) -2 -2 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	niger		<u></u>	ွဲက					7	_				9	41			•		0.72	0.56	48.6	43.2	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0		છ	(2)					\equiv	Ξ				4					\equiv			8	1,0	20
(1) (5) (4) (2) (1) (1) 2 - 1 - 3 0.18 - 13.5 (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	haetomium globosum		. '						•					36				-	•.	1.2	0.70	29.7	13.5	
2 - 1 1 - 3 13.5 (1) (1) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	0		,		ن	5		a - 1			Ξ			(5				Ξ						
(1) (1) (1) (2) (2) 5 1 - 1 1 3 3 0.2 0.18 13.5 (3) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Tadosporium sp.		2	. 1				.1	1		1	_	•	3		1	•	•	•	0.18	1	13.5		
5 1 - 1 1 3 0.2 0.18 13.5 (3) (1) (1) (1)		el e	\equiv)	1)						こ	<u> </u>	2							2			
(3) (1) (1) (1)	urvularia curvata	191	S	. ',		,		•	-	_)				3	•	Ì		•	0.2	0.18	13.5	5.4	
			\mathfrak{S}				<u> </u>	_	\equiv	Ξ					Ξ,	_					a	,	2	
	The state of the s																							

Parveen & Kumar

				1		1			-										
District/	Ajmer	Ŀ.	Bharatpur		Bhilwara	ă		Dholpur		ganaga	r Jaipur		Kota	Swairr	Swairnadhopur			Relative perce	percent
No. of Samples studied	∞		4		7	7	8	4		7	7		S	· ·	8	Incidence (P.I.)) once	Occurrence	oot.
Mycoflora	B	A	B	A	ВА	B	A	B A	В	⋖	В	A	B A	В	A	B	A	B	A
C. Iunata	2	3			'	-		-		-	н	_	_	2		76.0	0.13	27 12	3.01
	(5)	(2)				Ξ		Ξ		\equiv	3	Ξ	(5)	Ξ			CI		0.0
Drechslera halodes	e	-	ı		2 -		_	5 1			3	- i	. '	3	•	690	0	25 12	0 01
	·3	Ξ			Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	(2) (1)			3	\equiv	Ξ	(2)		70.0	0.10		0.0
D. rostrata	_		1		· —	ı.			- AT	1	4	_	3 -	3	_	0.35	0.05	27.08	7
	\equiv				Ξ			Ξ			3	\equiv	(2)	(5)	Ξ	5.5	3	00.77	t S
D. tetramera	•		-			ľ		1 2	•	•	S	9	_	1	7	0.21	77.0	27.2	19.0
								(4) (1)			4	4)	Ξ		\equiv	7.7	77.0	C+7	5.01
Epicoccum sp.	œ		_		-			•	•	•	3					36.0		21 62	
	4)		\equiv		Ξ						(2)					0.33		20.12	
Fusarium sp.			_	-1	•	_		3 4			7	8	1 2		_	0	0.33		67 16
			\odot			Ξ		(2) (3)		8	Ξ	(3)	_	Ξ	\equiv	0.02	0.34	10.7	70.17
Helminthosporium sp.	1	1				<u></u>			•		2					010		100	20
	*					\equiv					3		Ξ			0.10		0.0	
Penicillium sp.		1	1	,	_	-	,	2 -			4	'n	2 3	. 1	7	7,00	0.21	12.5	21 63
					Ξ	Ξ		Ξ			\equiv	\equiv	(1) (2)	_	• (E)	77.0	17.0		70.12
Rhizopus sp.	∞	_	7		·	7	_	3 2	_		2	7	2	7	•	790	0.16	40.5	16.2
	4	Ξ	\equiv		- · · (E)	(5)	(E)	_	Ξ	_	(3)	\equiv	Ξ	\equiv		9.5	2	2.01	1
Mycelia sterilea (white)	-		-	,					•		7		4	1		7,00		. 691	1000
	\equiv		Ξ			: 8		Ξ			Ξ		(7)	-		77.0		7.5	
Myrothecium sp.	•	_	1.2	,	<u>.</u>	•		- 2		£.			- 3	-1	-		0.18		10.8
		Ξ						Ξ					こ		Ξ				}

B = Blotter method
 A = Agar plate method
 Value in parentheses are showed that number of samples in which fungi recorded.

chains of 2-4); A. triticola (63-172 μ x 21-36 μ with medium beak and short chains of 2-3) and of A. tenuissima (23-74 μ x 9-12 μ).

References

- Al-Rokibah AA 1996, Bulletin of faculty of Agri.
 47 (3) 513
- 2. Paul Y S 1996, Plant Dis. Research 11(1) 57
- 3. Khan, M Q and Bhutta A R 1994; Pak J. of Sci. and Ind. Research 37 (9) 397
- 4. International seed Testing Association 1966,

- Proc. Int. seed. Test. Ass. 321
- Sarbhoy A K, Agarwal D K and Varshney J L 1981, The fungi of India.
- 6. Ellis M B 1976, More dematiaceous hyphomycetes.
- Toshi L and Zeggerini A 991, Informatore Fitopatologia 41 51
- 8. Thakur V, Sharma A D and Munjal R L 1981, Indian J Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 11 161
- Agarwal K, Sharma J, Singh T and Singh D, 1987, But.Bull.Silica. 28 123