

J. Phytol. Res. 35(1): 43-58, 2022

AQUATIC AND MARSHLAND FLORA OF BHADRAK DISTRICT, ODISHA, INDIA

Taranisen Panda

Department of Botany, Chandbali College, Chandbali, Bhadrak- 756133, Odisha, India Corresponding Authors E-mail: <u>taranisenpanda@yahoo.co.in</u>

Bhadrak district of Odisha, India harbors many water bodies, varying in shape and size, which remain underwater during the rainy season and provide a good habitat to various plant taxa. To assess the status and distribution of aquatic flora, trips were conducted in the intensive study area. A total of 167 plant species were recorded, of which 38 species of hydrophytes (including three pteridophytes) were found in aquatic habitat and 129 species in moist habitat. The most represented plant families were Poaceae (28 sp.) and Cyperaceae (19 sp.). Alternanthera philoxeroides (Mart) Griseb., Alternanthera sessilis (L.)R.Br.ex DC., Centella asiatica (L.) Urb., Commelina benghalensis L., Cyperus alopecuroides Rottb. Descr., Eclipta prostrata (L.) L., Eichhornia crassipes Solms, Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle., Hygrophila auriculata (Schum.) Heine., Ipomoea aquatica Forssk., Ipomoea carnea Jacq., Glinus oppositifolius (L.) A.DC., Ludwigia adscendens (L.) Hara, Nymphaea pubescens Willd., Oxalis corniculata L., Pistia stratiotes L., and Typha angustata Bory. & Chaub. were the most common species in all the habitats. Therophytes with 41.9% (70 species) were the highest life form followed by Hydrophytes (22.7%) and Chamaephyte (15%). The status of flora, management and its ecosystem services has been discussed in the paper. In order to conserve the aquatic biodiversity in this region, some strategies and measures are suggested including strengthening scientific research and biodiversity education in the local people, balancing economic development and ecological conservation.

Keywords: Aquatic flora, Biological spectrum, Economic uses, Floristic survey, Phytodiversity

Introduction

India, a mega-biodiversity country with four hotspots hosts a very high diversity of ecosystems and habitats, and encompasses about 8% of the world's biodiversity¹. Human activities have changed environment and the its components. This has led to the change in species composition and diversity of many plant communities. Phytodiversity is an indispensable component of the ecosystem and is the ultimate for the survival of animals. Loss of plant biodiversity is a major reason behind the loss of genetic and species diversity and it is mainly by the

ecosystems are among the most threatened ecosystems around the world than their terrestrial marine counterparts². or Overexploitation, water pollution, flow modification, destruction or degradation of habitats, climatic changes, eutrophication, acidification and exotic species invasions are the major drivers of biodiversity loss in freshwater ecosystems^{3, 4}. At a global scale, freshwater is relatively insignificant in terms of area (< 1% global surface), but such habitats support a number of aquatic and marshy flora (~ 10% of all known species)⁵ and plays a crucial role in the structure and

destruction of natural habitats. Freshwater

the function of this ecosystem^{3, 6}. The most imperative ecosystem services of aquatic flora include nutrient rotation, sediment stabilization, and the provision of foods and habitats for a variety of fishes and other animals ^{3, 7-8}. Moreover, their extensive coverage enhances the transparency of water bv favouring the reduction of the resuspension of bottom material⁹. In addition, the excretion of allelopathic plants of submerged substances is responsible for algal growth impairment¹⁰. Recently, Mustafa and Hayder (2021)¹¹ stated that aquatic plants have the capacity to absorb excess contaminants such as organic and inorganic, heavy metals, and pharmaceutical pollutants. Research of aquatic flora in India has, in general, received much less attention than that of the terrestrial plants. However, studies by $(1962)^{12}$ Subrahmanyam drew some attention to the importance of aquatic flora. Since then a number of reports have appeared on the floristic composition on different water bodies of the country¹³⁻²¹. The aquatic flora from Odisha has been the subject of studies and surveys from the last quarter of the 19th century until the current one²². Subhadarsini et al. (2016)²³ provide a list of 102 species under 34 families from Bhubaneswar and the adjoining areas. Panda $(2018)^{24}$ have collected 244 et al. macrophyte species that include 182 semiand obligatory aquatic 62 aquatic macrophytes from Ansupa Lake. Recently, Dalasingh et al. $(2019)^{25}$ have assessed the aquatic plants of the Puri district and documented 60 species of hydrophytes under 25 families. Variety of wet habitats ranging from rivers and ponds to land-water margins and shallow water are present in Bhadrak district with rich aquatic flora, but these natural resources have not been given due attention, and thus their potential remains unexplored. No detailed account of aquatic angiosperms for the Bhadrak district

is available. The present study is an attempt to open a new avenue in this field and has been investigated mainly for identification, habit, habitat, and aquatic phytodiversity analysis of the Bhadrak district. It is a prerequisite for developing strategies for their conservation.

Material and Methods

Study site:

Bhadrak district (20°43'-21°13'N and 86°6'-87° E) is located in northeast Odisha and covers an area of 2505 km^2 , with a population of 1.507 million (2011 Census). It borders the Balasore district in the north. Jajpur in the south, Bay of Bengal and Kendrapara district in the east and Koenihar in the west. The district accounts for 1.61% of the state's territory and shares 3.62% of the state's population. The climate of the district is warm and humid. The maximum and minimum temperatures range from 37.4°C to 17.7°C, respectively, and the annual average rainfall is approximately 1428mm²⁶ of which about 71% occurs in the monsoon season. The varying intensities of cvclones, drought and flood are the characteristic feature of the district. Data collection:

To assess the diversity of aquatic and semiaquatic angiosperms, the dispersed perennial wetlands of Bhadrak district, in the northeastern Odisha State, India, were selected for botanical surveys. Field surveys (from August 2018 to July 2020) were conducted fortnightly during the monsoon season, when aquatic plants grow most prolifically under seasonally wet conditions and monthly in other seasons (summer and winter). During field visits, plant samples were collected and photographs of plant species were taken from agricultural lands, marshy wastelands, canals, ponds, river banks and other relevant localities to cover almost all the district in a systematic manner. Data regarding the plant species were gathered mostly from local farmers,

elderly and knowledgeable persons through structured questionnaires, complemented by free interviews and informal conversations $(1995)^{27}$ according to Martin and Huntington $(2000)^{28}$. Identification was done with the help of available floras ²⁹ and with live specimens on the field itself. During the field study, some of the field characters like habit, habitat, flowering period, and local names if any were collected and recorded from the informants. The economic uses of these species if any were discussed with the local people. The plants were categorized according to their systematic positions following the APG III classification system.

Results and Discussion

The intensive floristic survey of aquatic and angiosperms of Bhadrak semi-aquatic district. Odisha from 2018 to 2020. registered 167 species (164 angiosperm and 3 pteridophytes), belonging to 119 genera (116 angiosperm and 3 pteridophytes), distributed over 50 families (48 angiosperm and 2 pteridophytes) (Table 1, fig. 1). The number of species reported in this study can be comparable with the studies of Adhikari and Babu (2008)¹³, Mishra (2015)³⁰, Swamy et al. $(2016)^{31}$, Mandal et al. $(2017)^{32}$ and Panda et al. $(2018)^{24}$. The most species-rich plant families were Poaceae (28 sp.) and Cyperaceae (19 sp.), followed by Acanthaceae. Amaranthaceae and Asteraceae (7 sp. each). The predominance of these families is also observed in several studies involving aquatic plants^{20, 25}. The most frequent taxa were Alternanthera philoxeroides (Mart) Griseb., Alternanthera sessilis (L.)R.Br.ex DC., Ammannia baccifera L., Aponogeton natans (L.) Engl. Krause, Argemone mexicana & L., Centella asiatica (L.) Urb., Chrysopogon aciculatus (Retz.) Trin., Commelina benghalensis L., Cyperus alopecuroides Rottb. Descr., Eclipta prostrata (L.) L., Eichhornia crassipes Solms. Hvdrilla

verticillata (L.f.) Royle., Hvdrolea zevlanica (L.) Vahl, *Hygrophila auriculata* (Schum.) Heine., Ipomoea aquatica Forssk., Ipomoea carnea Jacq., Glinus oppositifolius (L.) A.DC., Limnophila indica (L.) Druce, Ludwigia adscendens (L.) Hara, Marsilea minuta L. Nymphaea nouchali Burm. f., Nymphaea pubescens Willd., Nymphoides hvdrophvlla (Lour.) Kuntze, Oxalis corniculata L., Pistia stratiotes L., and Typha angustata Bory. & Chaub. Percentage of different life forms or biological spectrum was presented in (Fig. 2). Therophytes with 41.9% (70 species) was the highest life form followed by Hydrophytes (22.7%) and Chamaephyte (15%). Comparison of the percentage of life form classes shows that Therophyte is the dominant life form and is much higher as compared with the normal biological spectrum. With respect to life span, annual weeds with 103 species were the most diverse and the remaining were perennials. The results of the interviews revealed that the identified plants are used in various ways of life by the locals as classified in to different categories of applications such as treatment of diseases, food, fodder and other uses. Out of 167, about 32% of the species were used for the treatment of various ailments. In a major way, Acanthus ilicifolius, Alternanthera sessilis, Argemone mexicana, Bacopa monnieri, Boerhavia diffusa. Centella Colocasia asiatica, rotundus, esculenta, Cyperus Envdra fluctuans, Glinus oppositifolius, Hvgrophila auriculata, Ipomoea aquatica, Marsilea minuta, Oxalis corniculata. Phyllanthus amarus were identified with therapeutic importance³³⁻³⁸.

Local people in this region consumes aquatic plants, viz. Alternanthera sessilis, Colocasia esculenta, Enydra fluctuans, Glinus oppositifolius, Hygrophila auriculata Ipomoea aquatica, Ludwigia adscendens, Marsilea minuta, Nelumbo nucifera,

Nymphaea pubescens, Monochoria hastata, Monocharia vaginalis Ottelia alismoides,

Trapa natans, as raw, boiled or fried

 Table 1. List of aquatic and marshland flora recorded from Bhadrak district, arranged according to the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group Classification III.

Family / Species	Common	Habitat	Life	Life	Uses	Abundance
	Name		Span	Form		
Acanthaceae	TT 1 1	A	D	TT 1	N. 1	
Acanthus ilicifolius L.	Harkanch	Aquatic	Р	Hel	Medicina 1	++
Hemigraphis hirta (Vahl) T. Anders.		Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Hygrophila auriculata (Schum.) Heine.	Koelekha	Semi aquatic	Р	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
Hygrophila difformis Blume		Semi aquatic	А	Th	Fodder	++
Justicia procumbens L.		Semi aquatic	А	Ch	Fodder	+++
Ruellia tuberosa L.		Moist loving	Р	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Rungia pectinata (L.) Nees.		Moist loving	А	Th	Not Known	+++
Aizoaceae						
Trianthema portulacastrum L.	Purinisaga	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Alismataceae						
Sagittaria sagittifolia L.		Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	+
Amaranthaceae						
<i>Alternantheraparonychioides</i> A.StHil		Moist loving	Р	Th	Fodder	++
<i>Alternanthera philoxeroides</i> (Mart) Griseb.	Ghoda- madaranga	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Fodder	+++
Alternanthera sessilis (L.)R.Br.ex DC.	Madranga	Moist loving	Р	Hyd	Medicina l, edible	+++
Amaranthus viridis L.	Kantaneutia	Moist loving	А	Th	Medicina l, edible	+++
Celosia argentea L.	Chulia	Moist loving	А	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
Chenopodium album L.	Bathuasaga	Moist loving	А	Th	Edible	++
Gomphrena serrata L.		Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Amaryillidaceae						
Crinum asiaticum L.	Arsa	Moist loving	Р	Cr	Medicina 1	+++
Crinum defixum	Kondai	Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Medicina 1	+++
Apiaceae						
Centella asiatica (L.) Urb.	Thalkudi	Semi aquatic	Р	Hem	Medicina l, edible	+++
<i>Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides</i> Lam.		Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Not Known	+++
Aponogetonaceae				1		
<i>Aponogeton natans</i> (L.) Engl. & Krause	Jhechu	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	+++

Aponogeton undulatus Roxb.		Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	++
Araceae				-		
Alocasia macrorrhizos (L.) G.Don	Badasaru	Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Edible	+++
<i>Colocasia esculenta</i> (L.) Schott	Saru	Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Edible	+++
Pistia stratiotes L.	Borajhanji	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Medicina 1	+++
<i>Spirodela polyrhiza</i> (L.) Schleid.		Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Not Known	+++
Wolffia globosa (Roxb.) Hartog & Plas		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Edible	+
Asteraceae						
Eclipta prostrata (L.) L.	Bhrungaraj	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
<i>Emila sonchifolia</i> (L.) DC. exWight.	Sarkara	Moist loving	A	Ch	Not Known	+++
Enydra fluctuansLour.	Hidmichi	Aquatic	A	Cr	Medicina 1	++
<i>Grangea maderaspatana</i> (L.) Poir.	Painjari	Moist loving	А	Ch	Not Known	+++
Sphaeranthus indicus L.	Bhuikadamb a	Moist loving	A	Th	Not Known	+++
<i>Spilanthes paniculata</i> Wall.ex. DC.		Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
<i>Synedrella nodiflora</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Hemagra puspi	Moist loving	А	Th	Not known	+++
Boraginaceae						
Heliotropium indicum L.	Hatisundha	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicine	+++
Cleomaceae						
Cleome viscosa L.	Anasorisho	Semi aquatic	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Commelinaceae						
Commelina benghalensis L.	Kansiri	Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
<i>Commelina difusa</i> Burm.f		Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
Cyanotis axillaris (L) Schult. & Schult. f.		Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
Murdannia nudiflora (L.) Brenan	Kanduli	Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
Convolvulaceae						
<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i> Forssk.	Kalamasaga	Aquatic	A	Hyd	Medicina l, edible	+++
Ipomoea carnea Jacq.	Badakalama	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Biofenci ng	+++
Evolvulus nummularis L.		Moist loving	А	Th	Not Known	++
<i>Merremia tridentata</i> (L.) Hall. f.		Moist loving	A	Th	Fodder	++
Crassulaceae						
<i>Kalanchoe pinnata</i> (Lam.) Pers.	Amarpoi	Moist loving	Р	Th	Medicine	+++

Cucurbitaceae						
Mukia maderaspatana (L.)		Moist loving	А	Th	Medicina	++
Roem.					1	
Cyperaceae						
<i>Cyperus alopecuroides</i> Rottb.	Hensuati	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Artifact	+++
Descr.		_				
Cyperus brevifolius (Rottb.)		Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Fodder	++
Hassk.		_				
Cyperus castaneus Willd.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	++
Cyperus compressus L.		Semi aquatic	A	Hel	Fodder	++
Cyperus corymbosus Rottb.		Semi aquatic	Р	Hel	Not	+++
					Known	
Cyperus difformis L. Cent.	Swonli	Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	++
<i>Cyperus distans</i> L.f.		Semi aquatic	Р	Ch	Not	++
					Known	
<i>Cyperus iria</i> L.		Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
Cyperus rotundus L.	Mthaghas	Semi aquatic	Р	Hem	Medicina	+++
					1	
Eleocharis acutangula		Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Not	+++
(Roxb.) Schult.& Schult.					known	
Eleocharis dulcis (Burm.f.)		Semi aquatic	A	Cr	Not	+++
Henschef					known	
Fimbristylis dichotoma (L.)		Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
Vahl						
Fimbristylis ferruginea (L.)		Semi aquatic	P	Hem	Fodder	+++
Vahl						
<i>Fimbristylis miliacea</i> (L.) Vahl	Swanli	Semi aquatic	A	Hem	Fodder	+++
Fimbristylis ovata (Burm.f.)		Semi aquatic	A	Hem	Fodder	+++
JKern.						
<i>Fuirena ciliaris</i> (L.) Roxb.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	++
Kylinga nemoralis (J.R. & G.		Semi aquatic	P	Th	Not	+++
Forst) Dandy ex Hutch. &					Known	
Dalz.						
<i>Scirpus articulatus</i> L.	Kanri	Semi aquatic	A	Hem	Not	+++
			_	-	Known	
Scirpus grossus L.	Santara	Semi aquatic	P	Cr	Not	+++
					Known	
Eriocaulaceae				751		
Eriocaulon cinereum R.Br.		Aquatic	A	Th	Not	+++
					Known	
Euphorbiaceae				751	A 11 1	
<i>Chamaesyce hirta</i> (L.)Millsp.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Medicina	+++
				751		
Euphorbia heterophyla L.		Moist loving	A	Th	Not	+++
					known	
Fabaceae	0.1			C		
Aeschynomene indica L.	Sola	Aquatic	A	Cr	Not	++
	0.1				Known	
Aescnynomene aspera L.	Sola	Aquatic	A		Artifact	
Alysicarpus monilifer (L.)DC.	D'1 1 '	Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	++
Crotalaria prostrata Rottl.	Bishnukarni	Semi aquatic	P	lh	Fodder	+++
exwilla.	Transfer 1-	Same' di	D	T1	M. 1	
Crotataria patilaa Alton	Junjunka	Semi aquatic	r	In		+++
1	1	1		1	1	

Crotalaria quinquefolia L.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Medicina	++
					1	
Desmodium triflorum (L.) DC.	Kaansisna	Moist loving	Α	Th	Fodder	+++
Melilotus indica (L.) All	Bana methi	Moist loving	Α	Th	Fodder	++
Neptunia oleracea Lour.		Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	++
Hydrocharitaceae						
Blyxa echinosperma		Aquatic	P	Hyd	Not	+++
(C.B.CL.) Hook.f.					Known	
<i>Hydrilla verticillata</i> (L.f.)	Chingudiada	Aquatic	P	Hyd	Medicina	+++
Royle.	la				1	
Najas indica (Willd.) Cham.		Aquatic	P;	Hyd	Not	+++
	D 1 1	A	D	TT 1	Known	
Ottelia alismoides (L.) Pers.	Panikundri	Aquatic	P	Hyd	Medicina	++
				1		
H Hore		Aquatic	A	Нуа	Edible	+
Hydrolea zwlanica (L.) Vahl	Languliya	Semi aquatic	Δ	Hyd	Fodder	+++
Lamiacono	Langunya	Selli aquatic	A	IIyu	Fouder	
Anisomalas indica (L.) O		Moist loving	D	Th	Madicina	+++
Anisometes indica (L.) O.		Worst loving	ſ	111		
Laucas aspara (Willd) Link	Gaiso	Moist loving	D	Ch	1 Medicina	+++
Leucus aspera (wind.) Link.	Gaiso	Worst loving	I			
L entihurariaceae					1	
Urticularia stelaris I f	Bhaturia	Aquatic	Δ	Hvd	Not	+++
	dala	Aquatic		IIyu	Known	
I vthraceae	dulu				Tello WII	
Ammannia baccifera L.	Ramdauni	Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	+++
Ammannia multiflora Roxb.		Aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	++
Rotala indica (Willd.) Koehne		Aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	+++
Rotala rosea (Poir.) C.D.K.		Semi aquatic	А	Th	Fodder	++
Cook		1				
Trapa natans L.		Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	+
Malvaceae						
Corchorus aestuans L.	Bananalita	Moist loving	А	Th	Medicina	+++
		_			1	
Corchorus olitorius L.		Moist loving	A	Th	Not	+++
					Known	
Melochia corchorifolia L.	Telpuri	Moist loving	A	Th	Not	+++
					Known	
Menyanthaceae						
Nymphoides hydrophylla		Aquatic	P	Hyd	Edible	++
(Lour.) Kuntze			-			
Nymphoides indicum (L.)		Aquatic	P	Hyd	Edible	+
Kuntze						
Molluginaceae						
Glinus oppositifolius (L.)	Pitasaga	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina	+++
A.DC.					l,	
Nolumboracco				+	eaible	
Nelumbo moiferr Coorte	Dodmos	Aquati-	D	11.1	Madising	
<i>iveiumoo nucijera</i> Gaertn.	rauma	Aquatic	r	пуа	l edible	
Nyataginagaga					i, cuible	
Rogerhavia diffusa I	Duruni	Moist loving	D	Th	Mediaina	+++
Doernavia aijjasa L.	1 41 4111	wioist loving	1	111	wieutenna	

Panda

					l, edible	
Nymphaeaceae						
Nymphaea nouchali Burm. f.	NilaKain	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Medicina l, edible	+++
Nymphaea pubescens Willd.	Dhalakain	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	+++
<i>Nymphaea rubra</i> Roxb.ex Salisb	Nalikain	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible	++
<i>Euryale ferox</i> Salisb.	Kantapadma	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Medicina 1	+
Onagraceae						
<i>Ludwigia adscendens</i> (L.) Hara	Jagal	Aquatic	А	Hyd	Medicina l, edible	+++
Ludwigia hyssopifolia (G.Don)		Semi aquatic	A	Hyd	Medicina l, edible	+++
<i>Ludwigia octovalvis</i> (Jacq.) Raven		Semi aquatic	A	Hyd	Medicina 1	+++
Ludwigia perennis L.	Latkera	Semi aquatic	A	Hyd	Fodder	++
Orobanchaceae						
Centranthera tranquebarica (Spreng.) Merr.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	+
Oxalidaceae						
Oxalis corniculata L.	Ambiliti	Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Medicina l, edible	+++
Papaveraceae						
Argemone mexicana L.	Kanta- kusuma	Semi aquatic	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Phyllanthaceae						
Phyllanthus amarus Schum.&Thonn.	Bhuianla	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Phyllanthus urinaria L.	Bhuiamla	Moist loving	A	Th	Medicina 1	+++
Piperaceae						
<i>Peperomia pellucida</i> (L.) Kunth		Moist loving	A	Hem	Medicina 1	+++
Plantaginaceae						
Limnophila heterophylla (Roxb.) Benth.		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Fodder	++
Limnophila indica (L.) Druce		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Fodder	+++
Mecardonia procumbens (Mills.) Small		Moist loving	A	Ch	Not Known	+++
Scoparia dulcis L.	Chirarita	Moist loving	Р	Ch	Not Known	+++
Poaceae						
<i>Brachiaria mutica</i> (Forssk.) Stapf.		Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Fodder	+++
<i>Brachiaria reptans</i> (L.) Garde. & Hubb.		Moist loving	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
<i>Chrysopogon aciculatus</i> (Retz.) Trin.	Guguchia	Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Medicina 1	+++
Coix lacryma-jobi L.	Gargara	Semi aquatic	A	Hyd	Fodder	++
Dactyloctenium aegyptium (L.) P. Beauv.	Kakhuriya	Semi aquatic	A	Hem	Fodder	+++
Echinochloa colona (L.) Link	Swanghas	Aquatic	A	Th	Edible	+++
Echinochloa crusgalli(L.) P.	Dhera	Semi aquatic	А	Th	Edible,	+++

Beauv.					fodder	
<i>Echinochloa stagnina</i> (Retz)	Jhipa	Aquatic	А	Th	Fodder	+++
P. Beauv.	1	-				
<i>Eleusine indica</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Anamandia	Semi aquatic	А	Hem	Fodder	+++
Eragrostis ciliata (Roxb)Nees.		Semi aquatic	Р	Cr	Fodder	+++
Fragrostis gangetica (Roxh)	Kankra	Semi aquatic	A	Cr	Fodder	+++
Steud	chare	Senii aquatie	11		rouder	
Hataronogon contortus (I) P	Dauria	Semi aquatic	D	Cr	Fodder	+++
Beauv	Daulla	Senn aquatic	1		rouder	
Imperate cylindrica (I)	Chhana ahas	Semi aquatic	D	Hem	Fodder	+++
Paausch	Cilliana gilas	Senn aquatic	1	licin	rouder	
Kacuschi.		Somi aquatia	Δ.	Th	Foddor	
Vuntzo		Senn aquatic	A	111	Fouder	
Kullize	Tuli	Sami aquatia		Ham	Faddan	
Ischuemum rugosum Salisb.	T UII	Semi aquatic	A	пеш	Fodder	
Leptochioa chinensis (L.)	Bnuru	Semi aquatic	A	Hem	Fodder	
Nees.			D	TT 1	F 11	
Myriostachya wightiana (Nees		Semi aquatic	P	Hel	Fodder	++
ex Steud.) Hook.f.		~ · ·	-			
Oryza rufipogon Griff.	Balunga	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Fodder	+++
Panicum psilopodium Trin.		Moist loving	A	Th	Fodder	+++
Panicum repens L.	Reda	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Fodder	+++
Paspalum distichum L.		Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Fodder	+++
Phragmites karka (Retz.)	Noto	Aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	+++
Trin.ex Steud.						
Saccharum spontaneum L.	Kashatundi	Semi aquatic	Р	Hel	Fodder	+++
Setaria intermedia Roem. &		Semi aquatic	А	Th	Fodder	++
Schult.		1				
Setaria pumila (Poir.) Roem.	Sial legunda	Moist loving	А	Th	Fodder	+++
& Schult.						
Setaria verticillata (L.) P.		Moist loving	А	Th	Fodder	+++
Beauv.						
Sporobolus indicus (L.) R. Br.	Kankra	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Not	+++
	chara		-		Known	
Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.)	Bena	Semi aquatic	Р	Th	Artifact	+++
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Construction} \\ \text{Roberty} \left[=Vetiveria \end{array}\right]$	Dena	Senn aquatie	1	1.11	1 II III III III	
zizanioides (L.) Nashl						
Polygonaceae						
Polygonum harbatum I	Nara	Semi aquatic	Δ	Th	Edible	+++
Polygonum glabrum (Willd)	India	Semi aquatic	Λ	Th	Edible	+++
M Gomez		Senn aquatic	A	111	Edible	
Polygonum plabaium P Pr	Muthicago	Semi equatio	Δ	Th	Madiaina	
Folygonum piedelum R.BI.	wittinsaga	Senn aquatic	A	111	l adibla	
Dontodorianoa					i, euible	
	D'1 (' 1 1		D	TT 1	NL 4	
Eichhornia crassipes Solms	Bilatidala	Aquatic	P	Hyd	Not	+++
					Known	
Monocharia hastata Solm-		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Edible	+
Laub.						
Monocharia vaginalis (Burm.		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Edible	+
f.) C. Presl.						
Portulacaceae						
Portulaca oleracea L.	Badabalbaul	Semi aquatic	A	Ch	Medicina	+++
	а				l, edible	
Portulaca quadrifida L.	Balbaula	Semi aquatic	А	Ch	Edible	++
Rubiaceae						

Dentella repens (L.) J.R.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	+++
&Forst.	T			CI	NG 11 1	
Hedyotis corymbosa (L.) Lam.	Jarjati	Moist loving	A	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
Spermacoce articularis L.f.	Solaganthi	Moist loving	A	Ch	Fodder	+++
Scrophulariaceae						
Bacopa monnieri (L.) Pennell.	Brahmi	Moist loving	A	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
<i>Lindernia antipoda</i> (L) Alston.		Moist loving	A	Th	Fodder	+++
<i>Lindernia crustacea</i>		Moist loving	А	Th	Not Known	+++
Sphenocleaceae					Ittlown	
Sphenoclea zevlanica Gaerntn	Panimircho	Semi aquatic	А	Hvd	Fodder	++
Typhaceae	1 unini ene	Senn aquare		IIJu	Touter	
<i>Typha angustata</i> Bory. & Chaub	Hangla	Aquatic	Р	Ph	Medicina 1	+++
Verbenaceae						
Phyla nudiflora (L.) Greene		Moist loving	А	Th	Fodder	+++
<i>Lippia javanica</i> (Burn f)Spreng	Naguari	Moist loving	Р	Ch	Medicina	+++
Violaceae					1	
Hybanthus enneaspermus (L.) F. v. Muell.	Madan mastak	Semi aquatic	А	Ch	Medicina 1	+++
Xyridaceae						
<i>Xyris indica</i> L.		Semi aquatic	A	Th	Fodder	++
Pteridophyte						
<i>Azolla pinnata</i> R.Br. Salviniaceae		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Not known	++
<i>Marsilea minuta</i> L. Marsileaceae	Sunsunia	Aquatic	Р	Hyd	Edible, medicina	+++
<i>Salvinia molesta</i> D.Mitch. Salviniaceae		Aquatic	A	Hyd	Not Known	++

Abbreviations: A: Annual, P: Perennial, Ch: Chamephyte, Cr: Cryptophyte, Hem: Hemicryptophyte,

Ph: Phanerophyte, Hel: Helophytes, Hyd: Hydrophyte, Th: Therophyte, + rare, ++ common, +++ abundant.

vegetables. Similar observations were made from habitual uses of the wetlands of South Odisha (Mishra et al. 2012)³⁹. People of Zeiling tribe of Nagaland have been reported to consume Centella asiatica, Colocasia esculenta as a vegetable⁴⁰. The most significant fodder species were Echinochloa crus-galli, Echinochloa stagnina and Alternanthera. philoxeroides. E. crus-galli and E. stagnina are considered as a source of protein as well as additives to the fodder for animals⁴¹. The use of Alternanthera philoxeroides as forage for animals is also reported⁴². Plant species such as Aeschynomene aspera L., Vetiveria

zizanioides (L.) Nash. and *Cyperus alopecuroides* Rottb. Descr. are utilized by the artisans to produce artifact items. Similar observations have also been made in earlier studies ^{43, 44}.

Some of the reported wild edible plant species such as *Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott., *Glinus oppositifolius* (L.) A.DC., *Ipomea aquatica* Forssk., *Marsilea minuta* L. and *Trapa natans* (L.) Roxb. are found to be sold in the local markets (Fig. 3 and 4) particularly by poor and economically marginalised families, thereby generating a supplementary income to their household economy. The selling prices varied with



Figure 1. a. Acanthus ilicifolius L. b. Aponogeton natans (L.) Engl. & Krause c. Cyperus alopecuroides Rottb. Descr. d. Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle. e. Hydrolea zeylanica (L.) Vahl f. Hygrophila auriculata (Schum.) Heine. g. Hygrophila difformis Blume h. Ipomoea aquatica Forssk i. Limnophila heterophylla (Roxb.) Benth. j. Ludwigia adscendens (L.) Hara k. Ludwigia perennis L. l. Monocharia hastata Solm-Laub. m. Monocharia vaginalis (Burm. f.) C. Presl n. Nelumbo nucifera Gaertn. o. Neptunia oleracea Lour. p. Nymphaea pubescens Willd. q. Nymphoides hydrophylla (Lour.) Kuntze r. Nymphoides indicum (L.) Kuntze s. Oryza rufipogon Griff. t. Ottelia alismoides (L.) Pers. u. Phragmites karka (Retz.) Trin. ex Steud. v. Trapa natans L. w. Urticularia stelaris L.f. x. Vetiveria zizanioides (L.) Nash.



Figure 2. Distribution of life-form in Bhadrak district



Figure 3. Selling of *Glinus oppositifolius* (L.) A.DC. in local market



Figure 4. Selling of *Marsilea minuta* L. in local market species, with season and market to market. The selling of *Glinus oppositifolius, Ipomoea aquatica* and *Marsilea minuta* in the local markets was also reported by



Figure 5. *Eichhornia crassipes* Solms an alien invasive weed spreading expansively in a pond



Figure 6. *Ipomoea carnea* Jacq. an alien invasive weed spreading in a canal



Figure 7. *Pistia stratiotes* L. an alien invasive, aggressive weed covering the pond $(2010)^{45}$ Ti

Srivastava et al. (2018)⁴⁵. The author also observed people are collecting wild food plants notably during their growing season, and travels on foot between villages for its sell. It is worth noting that several young adults among the settled population do not have familiarity with wild plants whereas most adults and old people do. They still remember plant names and their use in local diet. So this knowledge may get lost forever in the near future, not being passed on to the new generations, who have changed their way of living and do not need any more to know about the natural environment and its

resources. Presence of Eichhornia crassipes, Ipomoea carnea and Pistia stratiotes indicated a clear sign of invasion of alien species in the studied area (Fig. 5-7). Quantitative and qualitative floristic survey, constant monitoring and protection of aquatic ecosystems are the need of the hour in order to save the native biota, to maintain the quality of drinking water, and disqualify the efforts of alien species to invade. To control the proliferation of Ipomoea, stems may be cut randomly after a gap of two months, which will not affect the ecosystem. The method of control of expansive alien species in the present study is manual removal. This method is still practiced in developing countries⁴⁶. Moreover, this method of control is effective only for small infestations, as it is labour-intensive and offers only a short-term solution because the long-lived seeds are able to germinate and hence reinflux occurs rapidly ⁴⁷.

Local aquatic plants diversity can be influenced by many factors such as hydrological alteration, habitat loss, overgrazing, high human population pressure, global climate change, an inappropriate development policy. Among economic them, the largest threat to aquatic plants biodiversity may be habitat loss due to hydrological alteration. Many ponds of the study area are converted to homestead land. Several studies have highlighted high rates of land-use change in the last 50 years which has been associated with human population growth and processes such as urbanization and residential and commercial development that have directly and indirectly affected the freshwater habitats, as well as their structure and functions^{48, 49}. There is a consensus among many scientists that the earth's climate is changing and will continue to change at an increasingly rapid pace⁵⁰. Evidence of the damaging effects is already clear and likely to increase in both direct and indirect ways causing changes in species, phenology, range, and community composition ⁵¹⁻⁵³.

Conclusion

There is a rich and diversified flora of aquatic plants in Bhadrak district, evidenced by the number of recorded taxa and variety of life forms. In order to conserve the aquatic plants biological resources and biodiversity in this region, some strategies and measures must be suggested including scientific strengthening research and biodiversity education in the local people, balancing economic development and ecological conservation.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to the people concerned for sharing their traditional knowledge for documentation and photography.

References

- 1. Chavan V 2020, State biodiversity boards: Towards better governance. *Asian Biotech Develop Rev.* 22 (2 &3) 21-40.
- Dudgeon D, Arthington AH, Gressner MO, Kawabata Z-I, Knowler DJ, Lévêque C, Naiman RJ, Prieur-Richard AH, Soto D, Stiassny ML and Sullivan CA 2006, Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biol Rev.* 81 (2) 163–182.
- 3. Chambers PA, Lacoul P, Murphy KJ and Thomaz SM 2008, Global diversity of aquatic macrophytes in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia*. **595** 9–26.

- 4. Rasingam L 2010, Aquatic and wetland plants of little Andaman Island, India. *J Basic Appl Biol.* 4 (3) 52-59.
- Strayer DL and Dudgeon D 2010, Freshwater biodiversity conservation: recent progress and future challenges. J North American Benthol Soc. 29 (1) 344–358.
- 6. Thomaz SM, Carvalho P, Padial A and Kobayashi J 2009, Temporal and spatial patterns of aquatic macrophyte diversity in the Upper Paraná River floodplain. *Brazilian J Biol.* 69 (2) 617-625.
- O'Hare MT, Aguiar FC, Asaeda T, Bakker ES, Chambers PA, Clayton JS, et al. 2018, Plants in aquatic ecosystems: current trends and future directions. *Hydrobiologia*. 812 1–11.
- Wood KA, O'Hare MT, McDonald C, Searle CKR, Daunt F and Stillman RA 2017, Herbivore regulation of plant abundance in aquatic ecosystems. *Biol Rev.* 92(2) 1128-1141.
- Scheffer M 1999, The effect of aquatic vegetation on turbidity; how important are the filter feeders? *Hydrobiologia*. 409 307-316.
- Van Donk E and Van De Bund W 2002, Impact of submerged macrophytes including charophytes on phyto- and zooplankton communities: allelopathy versus other mechanisms. *Aquatic Bot.* 72 (3 & 4) 261-274.
- 11. Mustafa HM and Hayder C 2021, Recent studies on applications of aquatic weed plants in phytoremediation of wastewater: A review article. *Ain Shams Engg J.* **12** 355–365.
- 12. Subramanyam K 1962, Aquatic angiosperms. CSIR, New Delhi.
- Adhikari BS and Babu MM 2008, Floral diversity of Baanganga wetland, Uttarakhand, India. *Check List.* 4 (3) 279–290.
- 14. Dhanam S and Elayaraj B 2015, Distribution and composition of aquatic

macrophytes in santhapettai lake of Villupuram district in Tamil Nadu. *Int Lett Nat Sci.* **47** 74-81.

- 15. Rajilesh VK, Anoop KP, Madhusoodanan PV, Ansari R and Prakashkumar R 2016, A floristic analysis of the aquatic, marshy & wetland plants of Idukki District, Kerala, India. *Int J Pl, Animal Environ Sci.* 6 (2) 55-64.
- 16. Mukherjee P and Kumar J 2017, Survey of alien invasive aquatic and semi aquatic plant species of Santhal Pargana, Jharkhand. *Biobrio* 4 (1 & 2) 221-224.
- Sharma OP 2018, Aquatic Plants of Jait Sagar Lake, Bundi; South-East Rajasthan. *Int J Pharma Bio Sci.* 9 182-184.
- 18. Mukherjee P and Kumar J 2019, Studies on the aquatic and semi aquatic angiosperms of Kanke Dam, Ranchi, Jharkhand. *Phytotaxonomy* **18** 221-224.
- 19. Prashanth Kumar GM and Shiddamallayya N 2020, Uses of aquatic and wetland plants of Hassan district, Karnataka, India. *J EconTaxon Bot.* 44 (1-4) 86-95.
- Raut S, Gupta N, Everard M and Singh IS 2020, Commercially and medicinally significant aquatic macrophytes: potential for improving livelihood security of indigenous communities in northern Bihar, India. J Threatened Taxa. 12 (13) 16819–16830.
- Rahangdale SS and Rahangdale SR 2021, Wetlands and diversity of angiosperm macrophytes in wetlands of Pune district in Maharashtra, India. *Pl Sci Today.* 8 (1) 16–23.
- 22. Mohanty M and Choudhury BP 1984, Additions to hydrophytes of Cuttack. *Bull Environ Sci* **1** 9-12.
- 23. Subhadarsini S, Nayak SK and Satapathy KB 2016, Study of floral diversity with special reference to

hydrophytes in Bhubaneswar and its adjoining areas, Odisha, India. *Int Res J Biol Sci.* 5 (9) 1-7.

- Panda M, Samal RN, Bhatta KS, Lenka, S. Rout J, Patra HK and Nanda S 2018, Diversity and distribution of vascular macrophytes in Ansupa Lake, Odisha, India. *Bonorowo Wetlands*. 8 (1) 1-12.
- 25. Dalasingh BK, Parida S, Bhattacharyay D and Mahalik G 2019, Diversified hydrophytes in different aquatic habitats of Puri district, Odisha, India. *Adv Zool Bot.* 7 (3) 53-60.
- 26. Anonymous 2019, District disaster management plan-2019. Bhadrak, Odisha.
- 27. Martin GJ 1995, Ethnobotany: A Methods Manual, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Huntington HP 2000, Using traditional ecological knowledge in science: methods and applications. *Ecol Appli* 10 (5) 1270-1274.
- 29. Saxena HO and Brahmam M 1996, The Flora of Orissa. Vol 1-4. Regional Research Laboratory & Orissa Forest Corporation Ltd., Bhubaneswar.
- Misra VK 2015, Successional pattern and plant species diversity in the aquatic and wetland habitats of North-Central Uttar Pradesh, India. *Indian Forester*. 141 (1) 57-67.
- 31. Swamy J, Chandramohan K and Bhadraiah B 2016, An inventory of aquatic and wetland plants of Pocharam lake, Medak District, Telangana, India. *Asian J Pl Sci Res.* 6 (3) 87-91.
- Mandal KK, Khora SS, Kar T 2017, Aquatic angiosperms of Bonai forest division, Sundargarh district, Odisha. *Pl Sci Res.* 39 (1 & 2) 12-18.
- Madhu V and Swamy TN 2010, Ethnomedicine against jaundice used by Gond tribes of Adilabad district, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Ethnobot leaflets* 14 687–693.

- Malakar C and Choudhury PPN 2015, Pharmacological potentiality and medicinal uses of *Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk: a review. *Asian J Pharma Clinic Res.* 8 (2) 60–63.
- 35. Mishra N 2016, Ethnomedicinal uses of some bioresources for healing of different ailments in Odisha, India, (Ph. D Thesis). V.K.S. University, Ara.
- 36. Parameswaran P and Kumar AN 2017, An account of the 'useful weeds' associated with wetland paddy fields (Vayals) of wayanad, Kerala, India. *Annals Pl Sci.* 6 (1) 1516–1526.
- Prakash V, Jaiswal N and Srivastava M 2017, A review on medicinal properties of *Centella asiatica*. Asian J Pharmaceut Clinic Res. 10 (10) 69–77.
- 38. Panda T, Mishra N, Rahimuddin S, Pradhan BK, Rout SD and Mohanty RB 2018, Folk medicine used for the treatment of gynaecological disorders in rural areas of Bhadrak district, Odisha, India. *Botanica*. 24 (2) 132–142.
- Misra MK, Panda A and Sahu D 2012, Survey of useful wetland plants of south Odisha, India. *Indian J Trad Know*. 11 (4) 658–666.
- 40. Singh NP, Gajurel PR and Rethy P 2015, Ethnomedicinal value of traditional food plants used by the Zeliang tribe of Nagaland. *Indian J Trad Know.* 14 (2) 298-305.
- 41. Serag MS, Khedr AHA, Khedr AH and Abogadallah GM 2014, Performance and chemical composition of three *Echinochloa* grasses over short term experiment. *Scientific J Damietta Faculty Sci.* 3 (1) 43–52.
- 42. Banerjee A and Matai S 1990, Composition of Indian aquatic plants in relation to utilization as animal forage. *J Aquatic Pl Manage*. **28** 69–73.
- 43. Mohanty RB, Tripathy BK and Panda T 2012, Utilization of pith plant (*Aeschynomene aspera* L.,

Leguminosae: Papilionoideae) by traditional florists cum craftsmen in Jajpur district, Odisha, India. *Nelumbo*. **54** 168–171.

- 44. Tripathy BK, Panda T and Mohanty RB 2014, Traditional artifacts from 'Bena' grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash., Poaceae) in Jajpur district of Odisha, India. *Indian J Trad Know*. 12 (4) 771–777.
- 45. Srivastava, Pan RS and Bhatt BP 2018, Antioxidant and nutritional potential of some underutilized leafy vegetables consumed by tribals of Jharkhand, India. *Curr Sci.* 114 (6) 1222–1233.
- 46. Julien MH, Griffiths MW and Wright AD 1999, Biological control of water hyacinth. The weevils *Neochetina bruchi* and *N. eichhorniae*: biologies, host ranges, and rearing, releasing and monitoring techniques for biological control of *Eichhornia crassipes*. Monograph No. 60. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR), Canberra.
- 47. Kannan R, Mhackleton CM and Shaanker R 2013, Playing with the forest: invasive alien plants, policy and protected areas in India. *Curr Sci.* 104 (9) 1159-1165.
- 48. Freudenberger L, Hobson PR, Rupic S, Peer G, Schluck M, Sauermann J, Kreft S, Selva N and Ibisch PL 2013, Spatial road disturbance index (SPROADI) for conservation planning: a novel landscape index, demonstrated for the State of Brandenburg, Germany. *Landscape Ecol.* 28 (7) 1353–1369.

- 49. Amici V, Landi S, Frascaroli F, Rocchini D, Santi E and Chiarucci A 2015, Anthropogenic drivers of plant diversity: perspective on land use change in a dynamic cultural landscape, *Biodiver Conserv.* 24 (13) 3185–3199.
- 50. Wuebbles DJ, Easterling DR, Hayhoe K, Knutson T, Kopp RE, Kossin JP, Kunkel KE, LeGrande AN, Mears C, Sweet WV, Taylor PC, Vose RS and Wehner MF 2017, Our globally changing climate. Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I, In: U.S. Global Change Research Program. (Ed.) Wuebbles DJ, Fahey DW, Hibbard KA, Dokken DJ, Stewart BC and Maycock TK, Washington, DC, pp 35-72.
- 51. Heino J, Virkkala R and Toivonen H 2009, Climate change and freshwater biodiversity: detected patterns, future trends and adaptations in northern regions. *Biol Rev.* 84 (1) 39–54.
- 52. Chen IC, Hill JK, Ohlemuller R, Roy DB and Thomas CD 2011, Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. *Science*. **333** 1024–1026.
- 53. Molina-Navarro E, Andersen HE, Nielsen A, Thodsen H and Trolle D 2018, Quantifying the combined effects of land use and climate changes on stream flow and nutrient loads: a modelling approach in the Odense Fjord catchment (Denmark). *Sci Total Environ.* **621** 253–264.