## STUDIES ON ROOT MORPHOLOGY OF *CHLOROPHYTUM* Ker-Gawl.

## D. J. AUNDHE and S. S. DEOKULE\*

Department of Botany, Waghire College, Saswad-412301, Maharashtra, India. \*Department of Botany, University of Pune, Pune-411007, Maharashtra, India.

The species of *Chlorophytum* Ker-Gawl. are known as drug safed musali in different indigenous systems of medicine, such as Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and Tibbi. It is a controversial drug being sold in the market, @ Rs. 1000-2500 per Kg. Mainly used as health tonic, galactgogue, and aphrodisiac, it is very rich source of proteins, steroids, saponins, carbohydrates and alkaloids. For standardization of drug safed musali, correct botanical identification is needed. Ten species of *Chlorophytum* Ker-Gawl have been collected from various places of Maharashtra and are identified and classified on the basis of their root morphology. The key of root tubers is first time prepared and communicated in the paper.

Keywords : Chlorophytum Sps; Key; Root morphology.

The root tubers of species of *Chlorophytum*, *Asparagus* and roots of *Orchis latifolia* Linn. (orchid), *Salmalia malbarica* Schoot. are being sold in the market under the name safed musali. Despite the drug safed musali useful in health tonic, aphrodisiac and galactogogue<sup>1-2</sup>, it has not yet been investigated so far. Because of its name safed musali, there is a controversy regarding its identification and medicinal use. It is being sold in the market @ Rs. 1000-2500 per Kg. Being controversy in the name and high price, there is a lot of scope for adulteration in this precious drug. Hence correct botanical identification and standardisation of the drug safed musali is needed. Ten species of *Chlorophytum* have been collected from various places of Maharashtra, identified and classified with the help of previous literature of various workers<sup>3-28</sup>. Review of literature revealed that lot of work has been done on morphology and taxonomy of these species. Because of therapeutic and export values of drug safed musali, detailed morphological studies on roots of ten species of *Chlorophytum* has been carried out, further classified and newly reported in this present investigation.

## **KEY BASED ON ROOT MORPHOLOGY**

1.	Root tubers cylindric, long and slender, 1 - 1.5" long.	
	1a.	Size of root tubers 0.6-0.8 cm and number of tubers reaching up to 64
	1b.	Size of root tubers 0.6-1 cm and number of tubers reaching up to 50
	1c.	Size of root tubers 0.2-0.4 cm and number of tubers reaching up to 25-35
2.	Root tubers cylindric, slender and short 2 - 5" long.	
	2a.	Size of root tubers 0.2-0.4 cm up to 5" long, tapering at both the ends and number of tuber reaching up to 15-20
•	2b.	Size of root tubers 0.1-02 cm, and 4" long and number of tubers reaching up to 6-10C. glaucum Dalz. Fig-5
	2c.	Size of root tubers 0.1-02 cm, 2" long and number of tubers reaching up to 12-15
3.	Root fibrous, long and slender	



Fig. 1-10.1. Chlorophytum borivilianum;2.C. bharuchae;3.4. C. arundinaceum;5.C. glaucum;7. C. glaucoides;8.C. breviscapum;9.C. laxum;

C. orchidastrum;
C. attenuatum;
C. tuberosum.

4b. Root fibrous, 1" long, ending with long ellipsoidal tubers with tapering ends and number of tubers reaching up to 20-30......*C. laxum* R. Br. Fig-9

The foregoing key of root tuber morphology is highly significant for the correct botanical identification of *Chlorophytum* species for further use. **Acknowledgements** 

The authors are indebted to the Head, Botany Department, University of Pune, for providing laboratory facilities. The first author is thankful to Principal and Head, Botany Department, Waghire College, Saswad for encouragement.

## References

4.

- Nadakarni A K 1927, K.M. Nadakarni's Indian Materia Medica, Popular Book Depot., Lamingaton Road, Bombay, 3<sup>rd</sup>. Edtn. 1. 208 & 209.
- Chopra R N, Nayar S L and Chopra I C 1956, *Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants* CSIR. New Delhi : 218.
- 3. Almeida S M 1990, Flora of Sawantwadi 2 36
- 4. Ansari M Y, Raghawan R S and Hemadri K 1970, Indian Forester **96** 304
- Boraiah G and Fathima T 1971, Curr. Sci. 40(22) 608
- 6. Cooke T 1958, Flora of Presidency of Bombay B. S. I., Calcutta, **3** : 280-289.
- Deshpande S, Sharma B D and Nayar M P 1995, Flora of Mahabaleshwar and adjoining, Maharashtra. 2: 596-598.
- Diwakar P G and Sharma B D 2000, Flora of Buldhana District : 304-305.
- 9. Dress W J 1961, Chlorophytum in cultivation, Baileya 9 29

- 10. Hooker J D 1894, Flora of British India 6 333
- 11. Kothari M J and Mortify J S 1996, Flora of Raigad District, Maharashtra state : 403-404.
- 12. Kulkarni B G 1998, Flora of Sindhudurg : 451-452
- 13. Lakshminarasihan P and Sharma B D 1991, *Flora* of Nasik District : 472-473.
- 14. Morais W and Reilly Jacqueline 1978, Kew Bull. 32 653
- 15. Mathew P W and Thomas K J 1974, New. Bot. 1 34
- 16. Mukharjie N 1975, Bull. Bot. Soc. Bengal. 29 75
- Naik V N 1998, Flora of Marathwada 2 Edtn. 1: 860-862.
- 18. Naik V N 1976, Bot. Journ. of Linn. Soc. 72 45
- 19. Naik V N 1974, Curr. Sci. 45 161
- 20. Naik V N 1977, Bot. Journ. Linn. Soc. 74 297
- 21. Pahuja A N and Kumar V 1969, Curr. Sci. 38 369
- 22. Panigrahi G 1975, Kew. Bull. 30 563
- 23. Pradhan S G and Singh N P 1999, Flora of Ahmednagar District : 522-23.
- 24. Sharma A K and Raju D T 1967, Bull. Bot. Soc. Bengal 21 37
- 25. Sharma B D, Karthikeyan S and Singh N B 1996, Flora of Maharashtra state Monocotyledons : 121-130.
- 26. Sheriff A and Chennaveeraiah M S 1972, Nucleus 15(1) 39
- 27. Sheriff A and Chennaveeraiah M S 1975, Cytologia 40(2) 401
- Sundara Raghavan R, Ansari M Y and Kambale S Y 1977, Curr. Sci. 46 534